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ABSTRACT

Clear cell carcinoma of the ovary has distinct biology and clinical behavior. There are significant geographical and racial differences in the incidence of clear cell carcinoma compared with other epithelial ovarian tumors. Patients with clear cell carcinoma are younger, tend to present at an early stage, and their tumors are commonly associated with endometriosis, which is widely accepted as a direct precursor of clear cell carcinoma and has been identified pathologically in approximately 50% of clear cell carcinoma cases. The most frequent and important specific gene alterations in clear cell carcinoma are mutations of ARID1A (~50% of cases) and PIK3CA (~50% cases). More broadly, subgroups of clear cell carcinoma have been identified based on C-APOBEC (apolipoprotein B mRNA editing enzyme, catalytic polypeptide-like) and C-AGE (age-related) mutational signatures. Gene expression profiling shows upregulation of hepatocyte nuclear factor 1-beta (HNF1β) and oxidative stress-related genes, and has identified epithelial-like and mesenchymal-like tumor subgroups. Although the benefit of platinum-based chemotherapy is not clearly defined it remains the mainstay of first-line therapy. Patients with early-stage disease have a favorable clinical outcome but the prognosis of patients with advanced-stage or recurrent disease is poor. Alternative treatment strategies are required to improve patient outcome and the development of targeted therapies based on molecular characteristics is a promising approach. Improved specificity of the histological definition of this tumor type is helping these efforts but, due to the rarity of clear cell carcinoma, international collaboration will be essential to design appropriately powered, large-scale clinical trials.

INTRODUCTION

Ovarian cancer is a heterogeneous disease and at least five types of epithelial ovarian cancer – high-grade serous, low-grade serous, mucinous, endometrioid, and clear cell carcinomas – are defined at the morphological level, before considering molecular subtypes. Much of the historical evidence regarding therapeutic efficacy in ovarian carcinoma either identifies histological type based on poorly reproducible criteria or does not distinguish one type from another. Therefore, much of the evidence regarding ovarian cancer treatment reflects the predominant histological type, which is high-grade serous carcinoma. However, it is now evident that clear cell carcinoma is a discrete entity, both biologically and clinically, and treatment should be specific for this type. In this review we consider the pathology and clinical behavior of clear cell carcinoma and discuss the extent to which this impacts its management compared with other types of ovarian carcinoma. We also highlight areas where further research is required.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

In the general population, it is estimated that 1.3% of women will develop ovarian cancer in their lifetime. There are significant geographical and racial differences in the incidence of clear cell carcinoma, which is higher in Korea (10.3%), Taiwan (18.6%), and Japan (15%–25%) than in North America and Europe (1%–12%). The reasons for these differences in incidence are not clear, although molecular differences between tumors arising in different populations have been described; these are discussed in the section on molecular pathology. According to Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) data, in women living in the United States, the proportion of clear cell carcinoma in whites, blacks, and Asians with epithelial ovarian cancer was 4.8%, 3.1%, and 11.1%, respectively. The median age of patients with clear cell carcinoma was significantly younger than that of serous carcinoma of the ovary (55 vs 64 years). Clear cell carcinoma is often associated with endometriosis and the presence of endometriosis has been associated with a good prognosis. Although the molecular mechanisms underlying malignant transformation have not been elucidated fully, endometriosis, particularly ovarian endometriosis, is widely accepted as a direct precursor of endometrioid and clear cell carcinomas of the ovary. This is supported by data showing that women with histologically proven endometriosis have a significantly elevated age-adjusted incidence rate ratio of 2.29 (95% CI 1.24 to 4.20) for clear cell carcinoma and by the identification of endometriosis in the final pathology report in 51% of cases of clear cell carcinoma. The incidence of thromboembolic complications, such as deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, is reported to be higher (up to 40%) in patients with clear cell carcinoma than in those with other ovarian carcinoma types, and is considered an independent poor prognostic factor.
Clear cell carcinoma tends to be diagnosed at an earlier stage than serous carcinoma, with 57%–81% and 19%–22%, respectively, presenting at stage I or II.8 10 21 In early stage, especially stage IA and IC1 (rupture alone) disease, the prognosis of clear cell carcinoma patients is good; 5-year disease-free survival rates of patients with stage IA and IC1 clear cell carcinoma were 84%–100% and 86%–89%, respectively.10 21–25 Stage IB clear cell carcinoma is uncommon and data on the prognosis of stage IB clear cell carcinoma are limited. Chan et al reported a 5-year disease-free survival of 56.3% for patients with stage IB clear cell carcinoma.10 21–25 A longitudinal analysis reported a median disease-specific survival of 56.3% for patients with stage IB clear cell carcinoma, which was significantly lower than other histological types.10 21–25 A retrospective study compared 113 patients with recurrent clear cell carcinoma to 365 patients with recurrent serous carcinoma (type not specified) to estimate long-term survival. The rate of 5-year disease-free survival was significantly lower in recurrent clear cell carcinoma than in recurrent serous carcinoma (13.2% and 18.2%, p<0.0001). On multivariable analysis, there was a significant difference in overall survival between patients with recurrent clear cell carcinoma and recurrent serous carcinoma (HR 2.30, 95% CI 1.57 to 1.86).32 This poorer outcome for patients with recurrent clear cell carcinoma has been confirmed in a study based on SEER data.32

Recurrence of clear cell carcinoma tends to occur at multiple sites, and Hogan et al reported that 38 of 61 patients with recurrent clear cell carcinoma (62%) had multiple-site recurrence involving pelvic, extrapelvic, intrathoracic, lymph node and cerebral/meningeal sites.33 The prognosis of patients with recurrent clear cell carcinoma is poorer than that of patients with recurrent serous carcinoma.34 When recurrence occurs at a single site, or is restricted to lymph nodes, survival is longer and disease-free interval can be prolonged by surgery; however, this is based on retrospective data.33 This is consistent with the better outcome of patients with isolated lymph node relapse of ovarian carcinoma of all histotypes.35 A retrospective study compared 113 patients with recurrent clear cell carcinoma to 365 patients with recurrent serous carcinoma (type not specified) to estimate long-term clinical outcome. The rate of 5-year post-recurrence survival was significantly lower in recurrent clear cell carcinoma than in recurrent serous carcinoma (13.2% and 18.2%, p<0.0001). On multivariable analysis, there was a significant difference in overall survival between patients with recurrent clear cell carcinoma and recurrent serous carcinoma (HR 2.30, 95% CI 1.72 to 3.07, p<0.0001). In deceased patients with clear cell carcinoma, 67.8% and 93.1% died within 12 and 24 months of recurrence, respectively. In contrast, in deceased patients with serous carcinoma, 40.7% and 73.0% died within 12 and 24 months of recurrence, respectively.34

DEFINITION AND MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY

Histopathological Diagnosis

The diagnostic criteria for clear cell carcinoma have been refined, enabling more robust and reproducible diagnosis of this tumor type.36–38 Typical cases have characteristic morphological features, including a combination of papillary, tubulocystic, and solid patterns, combined with clear and eosinophilic cells, and stromal hyalinization. The presence of background endometriosis or clear cell adenofibroma is also helpful in supporting the diagnosis. The recognition that other types of ovarian carcinoma, including high-grade serous carcinoma and endometrioid carcinoma, can contain areas with clear cell change has improved the specificity of a clear cell carcinoma diagnosis, which can now be made using a combination of morphological and immunohistochemical features (Figure 1). In particular, clear cell carcinoma is typically positive for WT1 and specifically the PAX8/WT1 translocation.
for napsin A and hepatocyte nuclear factor 1-beta (HNF1\(\beta\)), and negative for Wilms tumor 1 (WT1) and estrogen receptor (ER); high-grade serous carcinoma shows the inverse immunoprofile, and endometrioid carcinoma is negative for napsin A and WT1, and positive for ER.36–38

**Molecular Analyses**

A number of sequencing analyses have been performed in clear cell carcinoma (Table 1). These results and gene alterations previously reported are summarized in Table 2. The most frequent gene alterations in clear cell carcinoma are in the AT-rich interaction domain 1A (ARID1A)39–44 and phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit \(\alpha\) (PIK3CA) 39 41–46 genes (both occurring in about 50% of cases).

**SWI/SNF Chromatin Remodeling Complex**

ARID1A encodes ARID1A/BAF250A, which is a key component of the switch/sucrose nonfermentable ATP-dependent (SWI/SNF) chromatin remodeling complex that regulates gene expression targeting multiple tumorigenesis pathways.47 In addition to ARID1A mutation, mutations of ARID1B (6%–18%)41 42 48 and SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily a member 4 (SMARCA4) (5%–18%)41 49 affect the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex.

**PI3K/AKT/mTOR Pathway**

PIK3CA encodes the catalytic subunit p110\(\alpha\) of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K). Somatic mutation of PIK3CA increases PI3K activity and activates the downstream AK strain transforming (AKT) pathway.50 In addition to PIK3CA mutation, mutations of phosphoinositide 3-kinase regulatory subunit 1 (PIK3R1) (7%–10%),41–43 48 51 mutations of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) (2%–13%),41–44 49 and amplification of AKT serine/threonine kinase 2 (AKT2) (8%–26.6%)41 44  have been reported as genetic changes that affect the PI3K/AKT pathway.50

**Combined SWI/SNF and PI3K/AKT/mTOR Alterations**

An association between loss of ARID1A expression and activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway in clear cell carcinoma has been reported.52 53 Among 17 clear cell carcinoma cases with PIK3CA mutation, 71% were found in those with loss of ARID1A protein expression.52 Another study showed that the loss of ARID1A expression was more frequent in clear cell carcinoma cases with an activated PI3K/AKT pathway (PIK3CA mutations or loss of PTEN expression) (54%) than those without activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway (30%) (\(p=0.046\)).53

**Table 1** Next-generation sequencing studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Tumor site</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Friedlander</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>FFPE</td>
<td>46-gene panel</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Frozen tissue</td>
<td>Whole-genome sequencing</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maru</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>I/II 15 (83.3%) III/IV 3 (16.7%)</td>
<td>FFPE</td>
<td>409-gene panel</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elvin</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Primary (45.6%) and metastatic (54.4%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>FFPE</td>
<td>FoundationOne 315-gene panel</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arildsen</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>FFPE</td>
<td>60-gene panel</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itamochi</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>I/II 33 (60%) III/IV 22 (40%)</td>
<td>Frozen tissue</td>
<td>Whole-genome sequencing</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murakami</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Frozen tissue</td>
<td>Whole-exome sequencing</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shibuya</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>I/II 29 (60.4%) III/IV 19 (39.6%)</td>
<td>FFPE</td>
<td>Whole-exome sequencing</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>I/II 11 (73.3%) III/IV 4 (26.7%)</td>
<td>Frozen tissue</td>
<td>Whole-exome sequencing</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caumanns</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Frozen tissue</td>
<td>518 kinases, 13 diglyceride kinases, 18 PI3K domain and regulatory component genes, and 48 cancer-related genes</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takenaka</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>I/II 19 (27.9%) III/IV 49 (72.0%)</td>
<td>FFPE</td>
<td>103-gene panel</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FFPE, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue; N/A, not available.
generate tumors that phenotypically and molecularly resembled human clear cell carcinoma.56

Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase (MAPK) Pathway

Genetic changes in the mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) pathway, such as mutation of protein phosphatase 2 scaffold subunit alpha (PPP2R1A) (4.1%–20%);39 42–44 57 and the KRAS proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase; PI3KCA, phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha; PIK3R1, phosphoinositide-3-kinase regulatory subunit 1; PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homolog; SMARCA4, SWI/SNF-related, matrix-associated, actin-dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily A, member 4; SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex; ZNF217, zinc finger protein 217.

Table 2 Summary of critical genetic changes in ovarian clear cell carcinoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Pathways affected</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARID1A</td>
<td>Mutation in approximately 50%</td>
<td>SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex</td>
<td>39–44 48 51 54 55 127 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARID1B</td>
<td>Mutation in 6%–18%</td>
<td>SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex</td>
<td>41 42 48 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMARCA4</td>
<td>Mutation in 5%–18%</td>
<td>SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex</td>
<td>41 48 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIK3CA</td>
<td>Mutation in approximately 50%</td>
<td>PI3K/AKT</td>
<td>39 41–46 48 51 54 55 127 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIK3R1</td>
<td>Mutation 7%–10%</td>
<td>PI3K/AKT</td>
<td>41 43 48 51 127 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKT2</td>
<td>Amplification in 8%–26%</td>
<td>PI3K/AKT</td>
<td>41 44 58 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>Mutation in 2%–13%</td>
<td>PI3K/AKT</td>
<td>41 44 49 127 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>Mutation in 4.7%–20%</td>
<td>MAPK</td>
<td>39 41–45 48 51 54 55 127 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP2R1A</td>
<td>Mutation in 4.1%–20%</td>
<td>MAPK</td>
<td>39 42–44 48 51 57 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERBB2</td>
<td>Mutation and amplification in 2%–13%</td>
<td>MAPK</td>
<td>41 44 49 54 55 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET</td>
<td>Amplification in 24%–37%</td>
<td>MAPK</td>
<td>58 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP53</td>
<td>Mutation in 8.5%–21.6%</td>
<td>DNA repair</td>
<td>43–45 49 51 55 127–129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERT promoter</td>
<td>Mutation in 5%–16%</td>
<td>TERT</td>
<td>61 62 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZNF217</td>
<td>Amplification in 20%–36%</td>
<td>ZNF217</td>
<td>65 68 69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Mabuchi et al.131 AKT2, AKT serine/threonine kinase 2; ARID1A, AT-rich interactive domain 1A; ARID1B, AT-rich interactive domain 1B; ERBB2, erb-b2 receptor tyrosine kinase 2; GTPase, PPP2R1A, protein phosphatase 2 scaffold subunit A; KRAS, KRAS proto-oncogene; MET, MET proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase; P3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; PIK3CA, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha; PIK3R1, phosphoinositide-3-kinase regulatory subunit 1; PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homolog; SMARCA4, SWI/SNF-related, matrix-associated, actin-dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily A, member 4; SWI/SNF, switch/sucrose non-fermentable; TERT, telomerase reverse transcriptase; ZNF217, zinc finger protein 217.

of clear cell carcinoma, as it was not observed in endometriosis progressing to clear cell carcinoma.41

Mutational Signatures

Two major subgroups of clear cell carcinoma have been identified based on mutational signatures: C-APOBEC, characterized by an apolipoprotein B mRNA editing enzyme, catalytic polypeptide-like (APOBEC) mutation signature (26%), and C-AGE, characterized by an age-related (AGE) mutation signature (40%).43 Consistent with this, another study reported an APOBEC signature in 18% of clear cell carcinoma.46 The APOBEC mutational process has been proposed as a therapeutic target to prevent ongoing clonal evolution in disease progression.45 A multi-region sequencing study showed APOBEC-mediated kataegis to be an early event in clear cell carcinoma development, with APOBEC3B expression associated with infiltration by cytotoxic T cells and favorable outcome. Moreover, in another study, APOBEC3B overexpression was shown to associate with improved clinical outcome in clear cell carcinoma and to have a potential role in predicting response to platinum-based chemotherapy.64

Copy-Number Alterations

The total number of copy-number alterations in clear cell carcinoma is similar to that in low-grade serous carcinoma,65 and much lower than in high-grade serous carcinoma.65 66 Conversely, the ratio of whole-arm copy-number alterations in clear cell carcinoma was significantly higher than in serous carcinoma.66 Whole-arm
Copy-number alterations at 8q in clear cell carcinoma were also reported in other studies. The most remarkable region with copy-number gain in clear cell carcinoma is at chr20q13.2, which includes a potential oncogene, zinc finger protein 217 (ZNF217), at a frequency of 20%–36. Conversely, in another study, the cases with ZNF217 amplification was identified in C-APOBEC (33%) and C-AGE (57%) tumors. Moreover, ZNF217 amplification in clear cell carcinoma correlated significantly with shorter progression-free survival (HR 2.6, 95% CI 1.1 to 6.1, p=0.339) and overall survival (HR 3.5, 95% CI 1.1 to 10.6, p=0.031). The association between ZNF217 and SWI/SNF is controversial. Loss of ARID1A expression has been reported in other studies. The most remarkable region with copy-number alterations was associated with early stage at diagnosis and favorable outcome, while the mesenchymal-like group was enriched for advanced-stage disease at diagnosis and overall poor prognosis.

### CLINICAL ASPECTS

#### Diagnosis

As described above, the morphological features of clear cell carcinoma are often typical and diagnostic; and the addition of immunohistochemistry for WT1, p53, napsin A, and HNF1 helps to ensure diagnostic specificity by allowing the exclusion of, in particular, high-grade serous carcinoma with clear cells. It is important that clear cell carcinoma is defined robustly both in clinical practice and in molecular and clinical studies to ensure that patients are managed appropriately, and therapeutic responses and outcomes are accurately determined.

#### Surgery

Tumor stage is an important determinant of outcome and is crucial for clinical management. Lymphadenectomy is necessary to determine the precise stage because the rate of lymph node metastasis has been reported to be 4.5%–14.4% in pT1 (tumor limited to ovaries) and in molecular and clinical studies to ensure that patients are managed appropriately, and therapeutic responses and outcomes are accurately determined. Although it has been reported that residual disease after cytoreductive surgery is a strong predictor of survival in advanced-stage epithelial ovarian cancer, the proportion of clear cell carcinomas...
Figure 3  Adjuvant chemotherapy for patients with early-stage clear cell ovarian cancer (stage I–IIA). From European Society for Medical Oncology-European Society of Gynaecological Oncology (ESMO-ESGO) consensus conference recommendations on ovarian cancer.

No adjuvant chemotherapy should be considered only for patients with complete surgical staging.

Chemotherapy

Current National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines for the management of clear cell carcinoma are summarized in Figure 2.

Although previous NCCN guidelines recommended adjuvant chemotherapy for clear cell carcinoma regardless of disease stage, current European Society for Medical Oncology-European Society of Gynaecological Oncology (ESMO-ESGO) consensus conference recommendations on ovarian cancer indicate that the benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy is uncertain for patients with stage IA, IB, and IC1 clear cell carcinoma, and no adjuvant chemotherapy is recommended for patients with stage IA, IB, and IC1 clear cell carcinoma with complete surgical staging (Figure 3).

The current NCCN ovarian cancer guidelines also state that observation is an option for patients with IC1 clear cell carcinoma who have undergone complete surgical staging (Figure 2).

The subset analysis in the ACTION study showed similar progression-free survival for patients with stage I-IIA clear cell carcinoma with or without adjuvant chemotherapy, and two retrospective analyses reported no benefit for adjuvant chemotherapy after complete surgical staging for stage IA-B clear cell carcinoma.

As clear cell carcinoma is found at early stage and at a younger age, fertility sparing is an important consideration in clinical practice.

According to a summary of studies on fertility-sparing surgery in stage I clear cell carcinoma, there was no difference in the recurrence rate between patients with clear cell carcinoma and non-clear cell carcinoma (7/53, 13.2% and 41/377, 10.9%; p=0.61).

However, in another report, the recurrence rate of stage IC clear cell carcinoma patients who underwent fertility-sparing surgery was 22.5% (7/31). The role for fertility-sparing surgery in stage IB is unclear from existing data. Therefore, fertility-sparing surgery may be an option at least for patients with stage IC clear cell carcinoma when adequate staging is conducted. A recent systematic review supports this conclusion, identifying that fertility sparing surgery is not associated with worse survival in patients with stage I disease.
is hoped that this trial will shed more light on the role of chemotherapy in stage IA/IC1 clear cell carcinoma.

In first-line chemotherapy for clear cell carcinoma, the response rate to a combination of paclitaxel plus platinum, which is standard therapy for ovarian carcinoma, is thought to be higher (22%–56%) than that of other platinum-based chemotherapy (11%–27%).

However, the addition of taxane was not an independent prognostic factor in the MITO-9 study, and there was no survival benefit in advanced-stage clear cell carcinoma between patients treated with paclitaxel plus platinum compared with those treated with platinum monotherapy in a large Japanese study.

A randomized phase III study of paclitaxel and carboplatin versus dose-dense paclitaxel and carboplatin as a first-line treatment for stage II-IV epithelial ovarian cancer showed that dose-dense paclitaxel and carboplatin offers better survival than paclitaxel and carboplatin in ovarian cancers unselected for histological type. However, in subgroup analysis of this study, there was no significant survival benefit in patients with clear cell or mucinous tumors between treatment groups.

Conversely, combination therapy with irinotecan hydrochloride and cisplatin has been reported to be effective as first-line and second-line chemotherapy for clear cell carcinoma.

A randomized phase II study (JGOG3014) to compare combination therapy with irinotecan hydrochloride and cisplatin versus paclitaxel/carboplatin revealed that completion rates of six cycles and 5-year progression-free survival were similar in both arms. A subsequent randomized phase III study of combination therapy with irinotecan hydrochloride and cisplatin versus paclitaxel/carboplatin as first-line treatment for clear cell carcinoma was conducted by the JGOG in collaboration with the Gynecologic Cancer Intergroup (GCIG; JGOG3017/GCIG Trial). However, there was no significant survival benefit with combination therapy with irinotecan hydrochloride; 2-year progression-free survival rates were 73.0% in the combination therapy with irinotecan hydrochloride and cisplatin group and 77.6% in the paclitaxel/carboplatin group (HR 1.17, 95% CI 0.87 to 1.58).

In second-line or salvage chemotherapy, the response rate for recurrent or refractory clear cell carcinoma is extremely low.

### Table 3: Clinical trials of clear cell carcinoma using molecular targeted therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study title</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>ClinicalTrials.gov identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOG-0268</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Newly diagnosed stage III or IV OCCC</td>
<td>Temsirolimus, carboplatin, and paclitaxel</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>NCT01196429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOG-0254</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Persistent or recurrent OCCC</td>
<td>Sunitinib</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>PDGFRs and VEGFRs</td>
<td>NCT00979992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENMD-2076-OCC</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Persistent or recurrent OCCC</td>
<td>ENMD-2076</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>AURKA, VEGFRs, FGFRs, Flt3, and c-kit</td>
<td>NCT01914510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRG-GY001</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Persistent or recurrent OCCC</td>
<td>Cabozantinib</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MET, RET, VEGFR2, and AXL</td>
<td>NCT02315430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOG-0283</td>
<td>Active, not recruiting</td>
<td>Persistent or recurrent OCCC or endometrial CCC</td>
<td>Dasatinib</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>bcr-abl, c-kit, and PDGF</td>
<td>NCT02059265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCCC</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
<td>Persistent or recurrent OCCC and endometrial CCC</td>
<td>Nintendanib</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>FGFRs, PDGFRs, and VEGFRs</td>
<td>NCT02866370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOCCA</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
<td>Persistent or recurrent OCCC</td>
<td>Durvalumab</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>PD-L1</td>
<td>NCT03405454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BrUOG 354</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
<td>Persistent or recurrent OCCC or extra-renal origin CCC</td>
<td>Nivolumab ± ipilimumab</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>PD-1, CTLA4</td>
<td>NCT03355976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRG-GY016</td>
<td>Suspended</td>
<td>Persistent or recurrent OCCC</td>
<td>Pembrolizumab and epacadostat</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>PD-1 and IDO1</td>
<td>NCT03602586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AURKA, aurora kinase A; CCC, clear cell carcinoma; CTLA4, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4; FGFR, fibroblast growth factor receptor; Flt3, FMS-like tyrosine kinase; IDO1, idoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase-1; MET, MET proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; OCCC, ovarian clear cell carcinoma; PD-1, programmed cell death 1; PDGF, platelet-derived growth factor; PDGFR, platelet-derived growth factor receptor; PD-L1, programmed cell death 1 ligand; RET, RET proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase; VEGFR, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor.

Table 4  Possible individualized investigational approaches to the treatment of metastatic or recurrent clear cell ovarian cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecular subgroup</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Possible agent(s)</th>
<th>Additional comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARID1A mutant tumors</td>
<td>DDR pathway</td>
<td>ATR inhibitors</td>
<td>(±PARP inhibitors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Histone deacetylase</td>
<td>HDAC inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zeste homolog 2</td>
<td>EZH2 inhibitor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3K/AKT/mTOR activated</td>
<td>PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway</td>
<td>PI3K/mTOR/ mTORC inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK pathway activated</td>
<td>MAPK pathway</td>
<td>RAS/RAF/MEK inhibitors</td>
<td>Inhibitor choice mutation-dependent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mismatch repair deficient</td>
<td>PD1/PD-L1</td>
<td>PD1/PD-L1 inhibitors</td>
<td>May require to be part of basket study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenchymal-type gene expression profile</td>
<td>Angiogenic pathways</td>
<td>VEGF monoclonal antibodies and VEGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a large-scale study of platinum-sensitive relapsed ovarian carcinoma, including all histological types, the response rates of patients treated with paclitaxel plus platinum chemotherapy and those with other platinum-based chemotherapy were 66% and 54%, respectively. However, even in patients with ‘platinum-sensitive’ relapsed clear cell carcinoma, the response rate was lower than 10%. In a retrospective study of 75 patients with recurrent or refractory clear cell carcinoma, the median overall survival of patients after platinum-sensitive or platinum-resistant relapse were 16 months and 7 months, respectively (p=0.04). Chemotherapy for recurrent or refractory clear cell carcinoma therefore has only small benefit, especially in platinum-resistant relapse.

**Radiotherapy**

Adjuvant radiotherapy may improve the prognosis of early-stage clear cell carcinoma. In a retrospective analysis of stage IC, II, and III clear cell carcinoma to compare chemotherapy (cyclophosphamide, adriamycin, and cisplatin) and whole abdominal radiotherapy, overall survival and disease-free survival were significantly superior in the whole abdominal radiotherapy group to those in the chemotherapy group. Furthermore, a large-scale retrospective analysis of 241 patients with stage I and II clear cell carcinoma to compare adjuvant chemotherapy (three-cycle paclitaxel/cisplatin) followed by radiation (22.5 Gy to pelvis followed by 22.5 Gy to the whole abdomen) and chemotherapy (six-cycle paclitaxel/cisplatin) only, there was a significant improvement in disease-free survival by 20% at 5 years within stage IC (all IC patients except those who were IC by virtue of rupture alone) and stage II. Conversely, in a more recent retrospective study of 163 patients with stage I and II clear cell carcinoma, adjuvant radiotherapy was not significantly associated with increased progression-free or overall survival either in the whole group or even in the high-risk group (stage IC2, IC3, and II). The latter study was more likely to be subject to selection bias than the former as, in the former study, the decision to treat with chemo-radiotherapy or chemotherapy alone was based on service factors rather than patient factors.

Further research is needed to determine the benefit of radiotherapy in early-stage clear cell carcinoma. Radiotherapy may have a role in the treatment of patients with locoregionally recurrent clear cell carcinoma. One retrospective study of involved-field radiation therapy found higher 5-year overall survival (88% vs 37%, p=0.05) and disease-free survival (75% vs 20%, p=0.01) in eight patients with clear cell carcinoma compared with other histological types.

**Targeted Therapy**

Some agents targeting angiogenesis (bevacizumab, sunitinib, nintendanib), the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway (temsirolimus), immune checkpoints (nivolumab, durvalumab), loss of BAF250a (dasatinib), Aurora A (ENMD-2076), and MET (cabozantanib) are currently being evaluated in clinical trials, either as monotherapy or in combination with other targeted/cytotoxic agents (Table 3).

Bevacizumab was the first targeted therapy to receive the approval of the European Medicine Agency for the treatment of epithelial ovarian cancer. ICON7, a phase III randomized study, assessed bevacizumab combined with carboplatin and paclitaxel in the upfront setting compared with carboplatin and paclitaxel alone. This study included patients with stage I or IIIA clear cell carcinoma and no benefit of bevacizumab was reported for clear cell carcinoma in a subgroup analysis, although this analysis was very underpowered and cannot be taken as evidence for absence of an effect of bevacizumab in clear cell ovarian carcinoma. The vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) inhibitor sunitinib demonstrated minimal activity in a phase II trial of patients with recurrent clear cell carcinoma as the second- or third-line treatment, with a response rate of 6.7%. Temsirolimus, an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), was evaluated in a phase II trial in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel as first-line therapy in the treatment of stage III–IV clear cell carcinoma of the ovary. This regimen did not statistically significantly increase progression-free survival compared with historical control. In a study of nivolumab, an immune checkpoint inhibitor that blocks programmed cell death 1 (PD-1),...
for platinum-resistant ovarian carcinoma, one of two patients with clear cell carcinoma exhibited complete response and, in a study of avelumab for recurrent/refractory ovarian carcinoma, both patients with clear cell carcinoma exhibited partial response. Immune checkpoint inhibitors may represent a new treatment option for clear cell carcinoma, and clinical trials using these are ongoing.

ENMD-2076, an oral multtarget kinase selective against Aurora A and VEGFR, was evaluated for its activity in patients with recurrent ovarian clear cell carcinoma in a phase II study. The overall 6-month progression-free survival rate was 22% and did not meet the preset threshold for efficacy. Besides these agents, it has been reported that a histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor and an enhancer of zeste homologue 2 (EZH2) inhibitor selectively suppressed the growth of ARID1A-mutated cells in vitro and in vivo, and these drugs are expected to be introduced into clinical trials. In a small study, high HDAC6 expression correlated with poor prognosis in clear cell carcinoma with ARID1A loss, and also with programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression. This is consistent with the demonstration that HDAC6 inhibition can synergize with anti-PD-L1 therapy in a mouse model, raising the possibility that this combination may represent a novel therapeutic strategy.

In early work, prolyl hydroxylase domain-containing protein 2 (PHD2), encoded by EGLN1, has been identified as a potential hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF1A)-dependent therapeutic target in clear cell carcinoma; and screening of ARID1A-deficient cells has suggested that gemcitabine may be an effective therapeutic option in ARID1A-deficient tumors.

Post-Treatment Surveillance
Current NCCN guidelines do not recommend clear cell carcinoma-specific post-treatment surveillance, patients are followed up in the same way as patients with other histological tumor types. However, the ESMO-ESGO consensus conference recommendations on ovarian cancer indicate that CA125 is not a reliable marker in epithelial ovarian carcinoma types other than high-grade serous carcinoma.

Future Directions
The improved definition of ovarian clear cell carcinoma, and greater understanding of its molecular characteristics, provide opportunities to develop alternative treatment strategies with the aim of improving survival, particularly of patients with advanced-stage or recurrent disease. Possible individualized investigational approaches based on tumor biology are suggested in Table 4. Due to the rarity of clear cell carcinoma, international collaboration will be essential to power large-scale clinical trials required to answer the many remaining questions regarding the optimal treatment of this disease. Accurate diagnosis, particularly the exclusion of clear cell carcinoma mimics such as high-grade serous carcinoma with clear cells, will be crucial for these trials to produce reliable findings. Specific areas that merit further investigation include the relationship between mismatch repair (MMR) deficiency and response to immune checkpoint inhibitors, the prevalence of BRCA mutation and its relationship to poly-ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitor response, and the development of novel therapies based on tumor biology.

Correction notice This article has been corrected since it was published Online First. The author name Robert L Hollis was incorrectly written as Robb Hollis. This has now been amended.
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