

PO007LBA/#1550

**EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF TRASTUZUMAB DERUXTECAN IN PATIENTS WITH HER2-EXPRESSING SOLID TUMORS: RESULTS FROM THE CERVICAL, ENDOMETRIAL, AND OVARIAN CANCER COHORTS OF THE DESTINY-PANTUMOR02 STUDY**

<sup>1</sup>Jung-Yun Lee\*, <sup>2,3</sup>Vicky Makker, <sup>4</sup>Luis Manso, <sup>5</sup>Antonio González-Martín, <sup>6</sup>Iwona Ługowska, <sup>7</sup>Domenica Lorusso, <sup>8</sup>Susana Banerjee, <sup>9</sup>John Liao, <sup>10</sup>Chien-Hsing Lu, <sup>11</sup>Naiyarat Prasongsook, <sup>12</sup>Bohuslav Melichar, <sup>13</sup>Kai Chen, <sup>14</sup>Robert McEwen, <sup>15</sup>Flavia Micheli, <sup>14</sup>Soham Puwada, <sup>16</sup>Funda Meric-Bernstam, <sup>17</sup>Ana Oaknin. <sup>1</sup>Yonsei University College of Medicine, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Seoul, Korea, Republic of; <sup>2</sup>Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, Department of Medicine, New York, USA; <sup>3</sup>Weill Cornell Medical College, Department of Medicine, New York, USA; <sup>4</sup>Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Department of Medical Oncology, Madrid, Spain; <sup>5</sup>Cancer Center Clínica Universidad de Navarra, Medical Oncology Department, and Programme In Solid Tumours-cima, Madrid, Spain; <sup>6</sup>Maria Skłodowska-Curie National Research Institute and Oncology Centre, Early Phase Clinical Trials Unit and Department of Soft Tissue/bone Sarcoma and Melanoma, Warsaw, Poland; <sup>7</sup>Fondazione Policlinico Gemelli and Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Rome, Italy; <sup>8</sup>The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust and Institute of Cancer Research, Gynaecology Unit, London, UK; <sup>9</sup>University of Washington, Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Seattle, USA; <sup>10</sup>Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Taichung, Taiwan; <sup>11</sup>Phramongkutklao Hospital, Medical Oncology Unit, Bangkok, Thailand; <sup>12</sup>University Hospital, Palacký University Medical School, Department of Oncology, Olomouc, Czech Republic; <sup>13</sup>AstraZeneca, Oncology Randd, Gaithersburg, USA; <sup>14</sup>AstraZeneca, Oncology Randd, Cambridge, UK; <sup>15</sup>AstraZeneca, Translational Medicine, Oncology Randd, Waltham, USA; <sup>16</sup>University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Investigational Cancer Therapeutics, Houston, USA; <sup>17</sup>Vall d'Hebron Institute of Oncology (VHIO), Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Vall d'Hebron Barcelona Hospital Campus, Gynaecologic Cancer Programme, Barcelona, Spain

10.1136/ijgc-2023-IGCS.7

**Introduction** Trastuzumab deruxtecan (T-DXd) has demonstrated significant survival benefit for patients with HER2-expressing breast and gastric cancers. In DESTINY-PanTumor02, T-DXd demonstrated clinically meaningful response rates, progression-free survival (PFS), and overall survival (OS) in HER2-expressing tumors.

**Methods** This open-label, Phase 2 study (NCT04482309) evaluated T-DXd (5.4 mg/kg Q3W) in patients across seven cohorts with HER2-expressing (immunohistochemistry [IHC] 3 +/2+ by local [with retrospective central testing] or central testing) locally advanced/metastatic disease after ≥1 systemic treatment, or without alternative treatment. The primary endpoint was investigator-assessed confirmed objective response rate (ORR). Secondary endpoints included duration of response, PFS, OS, and safety. Exploratory endpoints included pharmacodynamic biomarkers.

**Results** At data cutoff (June 2023), 120 patients in the endometrial, cervical, and ovarian cancer cohorts had received treatment (median follow-up [range]: 19.94 [0.8–31.1], 12.60 [0.9–31.0], and 13.13 [0.7–30.6] months, respectively). Overall, 80.8% received ≥2 prior lines of therapy. Table 1 shows efficacy outcomes by HER2 expression levels by cohort. Table 2 shows ORR by HER2 in situ hybridization (ISH) amplification and plasma HER2 amplification by cohort. Grade (G)≥3 drug-related adverse events occurred in 54/120 (45.0%) patients; adjudicated treatment-related interstitial lung disease/pneumonitis occurred in 13/120 (10.8%) patients (n=12 G≤2; n=1 G5).

**Conclusion/Implications** T-DXd demonstrated clinically meaningful benefit, including responses across HER2 expression levels and in ISH+ or plasma ERBB2 amplified subgroups, and

Abstract PO007LBA/#1550 Table 1

	N	ORR, % (95% CI)		Median DOR, months (95% CI)				Median PFS, months (95% CI)		Median OS, months (95% CI)	
		INV	ICR	n	INV	n	ICR	INV	ICR		
Endometrial	All	40	57.5 (40.9, 73.0)	57.5 (40.9, 73.0)	23	NR (9.9, NE)	23	NR (9.9, NE)	11.1 (7.1, NE)	14.1 (7.3, NE)	26.0 (12.8, NE)
	IHC 3+	13	84.6 (54.6, 98.1)	76.9 (46.2, 95.0)	11	NR (9.6, NE)	10	NR (5.8, NE)	NR (7.3, NE)	NR (7.3, NE)	26.0 (18.9, NE)
	IHC 2+	17	47.1 (23.0, 72.2)	52.9 (27.8, 77.0)	8	18.2 (3.0, NE)	9	12.3 (3.0, NE)	8.5 (4.6, 15.1)	11.0 (4.6, 20.3)	16.4 (8.0, NE)
	IHC 1+	4	25.0 (0.6, 80.6)	25.0 (0.6, 80.6)	1	NR (NE, NE)	1	NR (NE, NE)	1.2 (0.8, NE)	1.2 (0.8, NE)	5.2 (0.8, NE)
	IHC 0	5	60.0 (14.7, 94.7)	60.0 (14.7, 94.7)	3	9.9 (2.8, NE)	3	NR (9.9, NE)	9.1 (2.6, NE)	11.1 (2.6, NE)	NR (4.2, NE)
IHC unknown	1	0 (0.0, 97.5)	0 (0.0, 97.5)	0	-	0	-	NR (NE, NE)	NR (NE, NE)	21.7 (NE, NE)	
Cervical	All	40	50.0 (33.8, 66.2)	37.5 (22.7, 54.2)	20	14.2 (4.1, NE)	15	15.6 (8.2, NE)	7.0 (4.2, 11.1)	9.7 (4.4, 16.8)	13.6 (11.1, NE)
	IHC 3+	8	75.0 (34.9, 96.8)	62.5 (24.5, 91.5)	6	NR (9.3, NE)	5	NR (9.3, NE)	NR (3.9, NE)	NR (2.9, NE)	NR (3.9, NE)
	IHC 2+	20	40.0 (19.1, 63.9)	20.0 (5.7, 43.7)	8	3.8 (2.8, NE)	4	8.2 (2.8, NE)	4.8 (2.7, 5.7)	4.4 (2.7, 7.6)	11.5 (5.1, NE)
	IHC 1+	8	50.0 (15.7, 84.3)	50.0 (15.7, 84.3)	4	14.2 (8.3, NE)	4	15.6 (8.5, NE)	11.1 (1.4, NE)	9.7 (1.4, NE)	NR (4.6, NE)
	IHC 0	4	50.0 (6.8, 93.2)	50.0 (6.8, 93.2)	2	NR (6.8, NE)	2	NR (NE, NE)	5.4 (1.3, NE)	NR (1.3, NE)	9.5 (2.6, NE)
Ovarian	All	40	45.0 (29.3, 61.5)	42.5 (27.0, 59.1)	18	11.3 (4.1, 22.1)	17	11.3 (4.2, NE)	5.9 (4.0, 8.3)	7.3 (4.4, 12.6)	13.2 (8.0, 17.7)
	IHC 3+	11	63.6 (30.8, 89.1)	72.7 (39.0, 94.0)	7	22.1 (4.2, NE)	8	NR (4.2, NE)	12.5 (3.1, NE)	7.4 (2.8, NE)	20.0 (3.8, NE)
	IHC 2+	19	36.8 (16.3, 61.6)	31.6 (12.6, 56.6)	7	11.3 (2.8, NE)	6	NR (4.1, NE)	4.1 (2.3, 12.6)	8.2 (2.2, NE)	13.0 (4.7, 21.9)
	IHC 1+	5	20.0 (0.5, 71.6)	20.0 (0.5, 71.6)	1	8.3 (NE, NE)	1	8.3 (NE, NE)	6.9 (0.7, NE)	7.3 (0.7, NE)	7.7 (0.7, NE)
	IHC 0	5	60.0 (14.7, 94.7)	40.0 (5.3, 85.3)	3	4.5 (2.6, NE)	2	10.4 (4.0, NE)	5.6 (1.3, NE)	6.3 (2.8, NE)	12.3 (6.2, NE)

HER2 status was assessed by central testing via HER2 HercepTest™ (Dako)  
CI, confidence interval; DOR, duration of response; ICR, independent central review; IHC, immunohistochemistry; INV, investigator; NE, not evaluable; NR, not reached; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival

Abstract P0007LBA/#1550 Table 2

			Responders/Total	ORR by INV, % (95% CI)
Endometrial	HER2 amp	ISH+	11/13	84.6 (54.6, 98.1)
		ISH-	9/20	45.0 (23.1, 68.5)
		Unknown	3/7	42.9 (9.9, 81.6)
	Plasma HER2amp*	ERBB2 amplified	10/11	90.9 (58.7, 99.8)
		Not detected	13/29	44.8 (26.4, 64.3)
		Unknown <sup>†</sup>	0	0
Cervical	HER2 amp	ISH+	6/10	60.0 (26.2, 87.8)
		ISH-	12/26	46.2 (26.6, 66.6)
		Unknown	2/4	50.0 (6.8, 93.2)
	Plasma HER2amp*	ERBB2 amplified	3/4	75.0 (19.4, 99.4)
		Not detected	16/35	45.7 (28.8, 63.4)
		Unknown <sup>†</sup>	1/1	100.0 (2.5, NE)
Ovarian	HER2 amp	ISH+	6/10	60.0 (26.2, 87.8)
		ISH-	9/25	36.0 (18.0, 57.5)
		Unknown	3/5	60.0 (14.7, 94.7)
	Plasma HER2amp*	ERBB2 amplified	1/1	100.0 (2.5, NE)
		Not detected	15/37	40.5 (24.8, 57.9)
		Unknown <sup>†</sup>	2/2	100.0 (15.8, NE)

HER2 amplification was evaluated centrally using Ventana dual ISH on archival tissue and detected in baseline plasma ctDNA using Guardant Health OMNI assay; CI calculated using Clopper-Pearson method. \*Focal amplification only. <sup>†</sup>Includes low shedders (no mutations detected, very low frequency mutations, or only variants of uncertain significance detected). amp, amplification; CI, confidence interval; INV, investigator; ISH, in situ hybridization; NE, not evaluable; ORR, objective response rate

encouraging survival outcomes in patients with gynecological tumors. Safety was consistent with the known profile. These data support T-DXd as a potential treatment for patients with gynecological HER2-expressing tumors who progressed on prior therapy.

## Plenary 03: Oral Abstract Presentations – Ovarian Cancer

### AS11. Ovarian cancer

P0008/#393

#### TIMED ADOPTIVE T-CELL THERAPY DURING CHEMOTHERAPY IN PLATINUM SENSITIVE RECURRENT EPITHELIAL OVARIAN CANCER, THE OVACURE PHASE I/II TRIAL

<sup>1</sup>Judith Kroep\*, <sup>1</sup>Linda De Bruin, <sup>1</sup>Lien Van Der Minne, <sup>1</sup>Inge Roozen, <sup>2</sup>Pauline Meij, <sup>3</sup>Sjoerd Van Der Burg, <sup>3</sup>Els Verdegaal. <sup>1</sup>Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC), Medical Oncology, Leiden, Netherlands; <sup>2</sup>Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC), Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Leiden, Netherlands; <sup>3</sup>Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC) and Oncode Institute, Medical Oncology, Leiden, Netherlands

10.1136/ijgc-2023-IGCS.8

**Introduction** T-cell infiltration correlates with epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) survival, suggesting that EOC may be sensitive to ACT with autologous TIL. Carboplatin-paclitaxel (CP) chemotherapy lowers tumor induced immune-suppressive myeloid-cells, thereby creating a window-of-opportunity for TILs. IFN $\alpha$  may support the TIL.

**Methods** This phase I/II OVACURE trial (NCT04072263) studied the feasibility and safety of TIL during CP +/- IFN $\alpha$  in patients with recurrent platinum-sensitive EOC. Sixteen patients were enrolled. Patients received CP iv q3 weeks, 6x

and TIL iv 2 weeks after the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> CP +/- IFN $\alpha$  12 weeks around the TIL infusion. CP +/- IFN $\alpha$  were used for lymphodepletion instead of IL-2. Patients who received 3 TIL cycles were evaluable. Secondary, signs of activity, immunomodulation, and T-cell reactivity were studied.

**Results** Fourteen patients were evaluable. Median age: 63 years (29–77), 13 HGSOC and 1 LGMOC. TIL could successfully be cultured for all patients. Addition of TIL during CP did not add toxicity, while additional IFN $\alpha$  resulted in grade 3 thrombocytopenia in the first 2 patients. Therefore, it was decided to continue treatment without IFN $\alpha$ . CP reduced plasma IL-6 levels and circulating myeloid-cell numbers. The optimal myeloid/lymphocyte ratio reduction was obtained 1–2 weeks after the 2<sup>nd</sup> CP. Interestingly, the platinum-free interval (PFI) exceeded the previous PFI after similar CP in 2 patients, including an ongoing PFI which increased from 8 to currently 43+ months.

**Conclusion/Implications** Combined treatment with CP chemotherapy and timed TIL did not increase toxicity and may result in clinical benefit for patients with EOC.