(Grade 1: n=33) and class 2 (Grade 2 and Grade 3: n=37) using texture analyses. The least absolute shrinkage and selection operator was used to select four TFs for each model and construct a discriminative model. A binary logistic regression analysis and receiver-operating characteristic analysis of the axial T2WI TFs, axial ADC TFs, sagittal T2WI TFs, and combined TFs models were performed to compare the two historical class.

**Results** Four models were constructed from each of the four selected features. The area under the curve (AUC) values of the discriminative model using these features were 0.71, 0.70, 0.77, and 0.82 for the sagittal T2WI TFs, axial T2WI TFs, axial ADC TFs, and combined TFs models, respectively. The AUC value of the combined TFs model was the highest.

**Conclusion/Implications** A combined TFs model may help distinguish UEC histological grades.
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**Introduction** The therapeutic effect of para-aortic lymphadenectomy in early-stage high-grade endometrial cancer remains controversial. In this study, we investigated whether combined pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy has a survival benefit compared to pelvic lymphadenectomy alone in patients with pathologically diagnosed FIGO stage I-II grade 3 endometrioid and non-endometrioid endometrial cancers.

**Methods** We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of 281 patients with histologically confirmed FIGO stage I-II grade 3 endometrioid and non-endometrioid endometrial cancers who underwent pelvic lymphadenectomy alone or combined pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy in staging surgery at two tertiary centers in Korea and Taiwan. Prognostic factors to predict outcomes in these cases were also analyzed.

**Results** Among 281 patients, 144 underwent pelvic lymphadenectomy alone and 137 underwent combined pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy. Within a median follow-up of 45 months, there was no significant difference in recurrence-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) between the two groups. In multivariable analysis, age at diagnosis ≥60 years (HR = 2.20, 95% CI 1.25–3.87, p = 0.006) and positive lymph-vascular space invasion (LVSI) (HR = 2.79, 95% CI 1.60–4.85, p < 0.001) were associated with worse RFS, and only non-endometrioid histology was associated with worse OS (HR = 3.18, 95% CI 1.42–7.12, p = 0.005). In further subgroup analysis, beneficial effects of combined pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy on RFS and OS were not observed.

**Conclusion/Implications** In this study, combined pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy could not improve survival compared to pelvic lymphadenectomy alone in patients with FIGO stage I-II grade 3 endometrioid and nonendometrioid endometrial cancers. Therefore, para-aortic lymphadenectomy may be omitted for these cases.