

Each final 'branch', the smallest sub-criteria has its specific weight and based on the answer will receive a percentage score. Finally the scores for each sub-criteria are added up to the total score of each country.

Results The 2023 HPV Atlas compares the progress with the earlier 2020 edition. The results will be available on 22 June and compared to earlier results:

Belgium, Denmark, Ireland and the UK are the policy champions and lead the Atlas with excellent policies on primary secondary prevention and providing evidence based information to citizens.

Belarus and Azerbaijan score the worse, as there is literally no information about the HPV prevention to be found and policies on primary or secondary prevention are non-existent.

Conclusion The situation in Europe is very unequal. There is a clear divide between northern Europe, Southern Europe and Eastern Europe. While vaccine exists and screenings technologies are available – the access is very dependent on where you live. This leads to high incidence and mortality which could be avoided should proper policies be put in place.

Disclosures <https://www.epfweb.org/node/552>

02. Diagnostics

#873 DEVELOPMENT OF AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-BASED DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEM FOR THE DETECTION OF ABNORMAL COLPOSCOPIC FINDINGS

¹Akihiko Ueda*, ¹Ken Yamaguchi, ¹Sachiko Kitamura, ¹Mana Taki, ¹Koji Yamanoi, ¹Ryusuke Murakami, ¹Junzo Hamanishi, ²Masatsugu Ueda, ¹Masaki Mandai. ¹Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan; ²Kio University, Nara, Japan

10.1136/ijgc-2023-ESGO.10

Introduction/Background Colposcopic examination requires sufficient training to detect cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) with (1) high diagnostic accuracy and (2) minimizing time and reducing tissue biopsies. The aim of this study was to develop an artificial intelligence (AI)-based system that replicates expert colposcopic examination techniques, independent of examiner skill.

Methodology A retrospective analysis was performed using 8341 colposcopic videos from 2013 to 2019, consisting of seven early-stage cervical cancer cases, 203 CIN3 cases, 276 CIN2 cases, and 456 CIN1 cases. An AI-based lesion detection model was developed to identify major abnormal colposcopic findings. The model was trained using the annotated abnormal findings with the highest acetic acid intensity in cervical cancer and CIN3 cases whose histological diagnoses were confirmed. The developed AI model was then applied to CIN1 and CIN2 cases to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of the lesions.

Results The AI-based model was trained on 60 cases of cervical cancer and CIN3 and validated on 150 cases. The model was able to identify severe lesions with high accuracy, with a sensitivity of 85%, a specificity of 73%, an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.89 for lesion area, and an accuracy of 95% for the number of lesions identified. The model also predicted abnormal colposcopic findings in CIN1 and CIN2 cases with high accuracy for detection of lesion area (sensitivity: 87% and 86%, specificity: 70% and 67%, AUC: 0.81 and 0.81, respectively) and identification of the number of lesions (97% and 93%, respectively). Furthermore, a heat map display based

on the prediction results allowed visualization of the area of highest acetic acid intensity corresponding to the actual biopsy locations.

Conclusion We have newly developed an AI-based diagnostic system for colposcopy that can identify CIN lesions with high accuracy and suggest appropriate biopsy sites.

03. Endometrial cancer

#73 NON-STEROIDAL ANTI-INFLAMMATORY MEDICATION USE AND SURVIVAL FOLLOWING AN ENDOMETRIAL CANCER DIAGNOSIS: A POPULATION-BASED NORWEGIAN COHORT STUDY

^{1,2}Ala Jabri Haug*, ³Nathalie C Støer, ³Hilde Langseth, ⁴Franziska Sifarikas, ³Edoardo Botteri, ³Renée Turzanski Fortner, ^{1,5}Kristina Lindemann. ¹Institute of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Oslo University, Oslo, Norway; ²Akershus University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; ³Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo, Norway; ⁴University of Oslo, Faculty of Medicine, Division Akershus University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; ⁵Department of Gynecological Oncology, Division of Cancer Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

10.1136/ijgc-2023-ESGO.11

Introduction/Background Endometrial cancer (EC) is the most common gynaecological cancer in Norway, with rising incidence. There is a strong need for primary and secondary prevention strategies. While non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have been shown to improve survival in other cancers, data in patients with EC is conflicting.

Methodology This population-based, retrospective cohort study linked data from the Cancer Registry of Norway with The Norwegian Prescription database. These registries cover more than 99% of the Norwegian population including all patients in an unselected manner. Patients diagnosed with EC from 2004 – 2018 were included. Biometric data were available from four large health studies for a subgroup of patients. Post-diagnostic exposure to aspirin and non-aspirin NSAIDs was defined as at least three consecutive NSAID prescriptions at least 30 days after the diagnosis of EC. The main outcome measures were overall survival (OS) and endometrial cancer specific survival (CSS). Hazard ratios were calculated with multivariable Cox-regression model.

Abstract #73 Table 1 Characteristics of the study cohort based on NSAID use

| Characteristics | Non-exposed (n= 6482) | | Aspirin-exposed (n = 685) | | Non-aspirin NSAIDs-exposed (n= 620) | |
|--|-----------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| | n | (%) | n | (%) | n | (%) |
| Median age at diagnosis (years) | 65.50 (25.50-92.50) | | 73.34 (37.83-91.92) | | 64.33 (31.18-85.25) | |
| Clinical stage | | | | | | |
| - local | 4933 | 76.10 | 533 | 77.81 | 442 | 71.30 |
| - regional | 523 | 8.07 | 63 | 9.20 | 44 | 7.10 |
| - metastatic | 618 | 9.53 | 49 | 7.15 | 92 | 14.84 |
| - unknown | 408 | 6.30 | 40 | 5.84 | 42 | 6.77 |
| Tumor histology | | | | | | |
| - type 1 | 5470 | 84.39 | 575 | 83.94 | 497 | 80.16 |
| - type 2 | 675 | 10.41 | 79 | 11.53 | 75 | 12.10 |
| - other | 337 | 5.20 | 31 | 4.53 | 48 | 7.74 |
| Survival status | | | | | | |
| - Alive | 5285 | 81.53 | 491 | 71.68 | 488 | 78.71 |
| - Dead | 1197 | 18.47 | 194 | 28.32 | 132 | 21.29 |
| - Dead as a result of EC | 571 | 8.81 | 77 | 11.24 | 81 | 13.06 |
| - Dead as a result of another reason | 626 | 9.70 | 117 | 17.08 | 51 | 8.23 |
| BMI | | | | | | |
| - < 25kg/m ² | 768 | 11.85 | 60 | 8.76 | 61 | 9.84 |
| - ≥25kg/m ² | 1585 | 24.45 | 185 | 27.00 | 169 | 27.26 |
| - Unknown | 4129 | 63.70 | 440 | 64.23 | 390 | 62.90 |

Results A total of 7751 patients diagnosed with EC were included, with 685 (9%) exposed to aspirin and 620 (8%) exposed to non-aspirin NSAIDs. The median follow-up time was 5.0 years, with 1518 (20%) deaths observed (728, 9%, EC-specific). In multivariable analysis, non-aspirin NSAID use was associated with poorer OS (HR 1.12, 95% CI 0.93–1.34) and CSS (HR 1.37, 95%CI 1.08–1.73) compared to non-users. This association was robust to additional adjustment for BMI. Associations between non-aspirin NSAID use and CSS were strongest among women with BMI <25 kg/m². Aspirin use was not associated with OS or CSS.

Conclusion In line with a previous population-based study, we report a higher risk of death among patients that used non-aspirin NSAIDs after the diagnosis of EC. Use of aspirin was not associated with survival. The interaction between the immune system, chronic inflammation and EC should be further explored.

#264

LONG-TERM FOLLOW UP OF SELINEXOR MAINTENANCE FOR PATIENTS WITH TP53WT ADVANCED OR RECURRENT ENDOMETRIAL CANCER: A PRE-SPECIFIED SUBGROUP ANALYSIS FROM THE PHASE 3 ENGOT-ENS/GOG-3055/SIENDO STUDY

¹Alejandro Pérez Fidalgo*, ²Ignace Vergote, ³Erika Hamilton, ⁴Giorgio Valabrega, ⁵Toon Van Gorp, ⁶Jalid Sehouli, ⁷Jaroslav Klat, ⁸Tally Levy, ⁹Stephen Welch, ¹⁰Debra L Richardson, ¹¹Eva Maria Guerra Alía, ¹²Giovanni Scambia, ¹³Stéphanie Henry, ¹⁴Pauline Wimberger, ¹⁵David Miller, ¹⁶Jerónimo Martínez, ¹⁷Bradley J Monk, ¹⁸Pratheek Kalyanapu, ¹⁹Mansoor Raza Mirza, ²⁰Vicky Makker. ¹GEICO. Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia. INCLIVA. CIBERONC, Valencia, Spain; ²BGOG and Leuven Cancer Institute, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ³Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, United States; ⁴MITO and Department of Oncology, University of Torino, at Mauriziano Hospital, Turin, Italy; ⁵BGOG and Leuven Cancer Institute, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ⁶NOGGO and Department of Gynecology, European Competence Center for Ovarian Cancer, Charité Comprehensive Cancer Center, Charité–Berlin University of Medicine, Berlin, Germany; ⁷CEGOG and University Hospital Ostrava and University of Ostrava, Ostrava-Poruba, Czech Republic; ⁸ISGO and Gynecologic Oncology Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Wolfson Medical Center, Holon, affiliated with Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; ⁹London Health Sciences Centre, London, United Kingdom; ¹⁰Stephenson Cancer Center, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, United States; ¹¹GEICO and Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain; ¹²MITO and Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy; ¹³BGOG and Université Catholique de Louvain, CHU UCL Namur Site Ste Elisabeth, Service d'onco-hématologie (SORMN), Namur, Belgium; ¹⁴NOGGO and Technische Universität Dresden, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, 15Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Dresden, Germany; ¹⁵Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center; Harold C. Simmons Comprehensive Cancer Center, Dallas, United States; ¹⁶GEICO and Hospital Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca, Department of Oncology, Murcia, Spain; ¹⁷GOG Foundation, University of Arizona, Creighton University, Phoenix, United States; ¹⁸Karyopharm Therapeutics, Newton, United States; ¹⁹Rigshospitalet – Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark; ²⁰Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, United States

10.1136/ijgc-2023-ESGO.12

Introduction/Background Molecular characterization is important to inform treatment decisions for patients with endometrial cancer (EC). Wild type TP53 (TP53wt) is found in ~75% of newly diagnosed EC and 50% of advanced/recurrent tumors; there are no specific targeted therapies for patients with TP53wt EC. Selinexor is an investigational oral XPO1 inhibitor that drives nuclear retention and functional activation of wt tumor suppressor proteins, including p53.

Methodology SIENDO (NCT03555422) was a phase 3 double-blind study evaluating selinexor vs placebo as maintenance in patients with advanced/recurrent EC. The primary endpoint was progression-free survival (PFS) and first presented at the ESMO plenary in March 2022. Selinexor efficacy and safety was now further assessed with long-term follow-up in a pre-specified subgroup of patients with TP53wt EC.

Results 113 patients with TP53wt EC were randomized (selinexor, n=77/placebo, n=36). As of data cutoff on Nov 30, 2022, median follow-up was 20.3 months, with 26.3% and 22.9% patients still on selinexor and placebo treatment, respectively. Median PFS for TP53wt subgroup was 20.8 months with selinexor vs 5.2 months with placebo (HR [Stratified by chemotherapy response CR vs PR] 0.46; 95% CI (0.27, 0.79), nominal one-sided p-value=0.0020). The most common adverse events (AEs) of any grade (selinexor/placebo) were nausea (90%/34%), vomiting (61%/11%) and diarrhea (38%/34%); and most common grade 3+ AEs: neutropenia (18%/0%), nausea (12%/0%), and thrombocytopenia (9%/0%). TEAEs leading to discontinuations occurred at a rate of 15%/0%.

Conclusion Long-term follow-up of a pre-specified subgroup analysis showed durable PFS with selinexor maintenance in TP53wt EC, which offers the potential to prolong prior chemotherapy response. These data suggest that TP53 status is a robust prognostic biomarker for EC and selinexor may provide meaningful benefits for these patients. Updated data will be presented. A phase 3 trial of selinexor as a maintenance therapy in TP53wt EC is underway (NCT05611931).

Disclosures Ignace Vergote has received grants/research support from Oncoinvent AS (2019–2020) GRANT = CORPORATE SPONSORED RESEARCH -Amgen (2019–2020) -Roche (2019–2020); received honoraria or consulting fees from Agenus (2021) - Aksebio (2021) - Amgen (Europe) GmbH (2019) - AstraZeneca (2019–2022) - Bristol Myers Squibb (2021) - Clovis Oncology Inc. (2019–2019) -Carrick Therapeutics (2019) - Deciphera Pharmaceuticals; and Accommodations, travel expenses (2019–2020) -

Amgen - MSD - Tesaro - AstraZeneca - Roche. Alejandro Pérez Fidalgo has received grants/research supports from Pharmamar and GSK (my institution); Participation in a company sponsored speaker's bureau Astrazeneca, GSK, Clovis, Pharmamar (myself); and honoraria or consultation fees GSK, Clovis, Astrazeneca, Pharmamar, Roche. Erika Hamilton has received grants/research supports from (Made to institution) Abbvie Acerta Pharma ADC Therapeutics AKESOBIO Australia Amgen Aravive ArQule Arvinas AstraZeneca AtlasMedx Black Diamond Boehringer Ingelheim Clovis Compugen Curis CytomX Daiichi Sankyo Dana Farber Cancer Inst Deciphera eFFECTOR Therapeutics Ellipses Pharma EMD Serono Fochon Fujifilm G1 Therapeutics H3 Biomedicine Harpoon Hutchinson MediPharma Immunogen Immunomedics Incyte InvestisBio Jacobio Karyopharm Leap Therapeutics Lilly Lycera Mabspace Biosciences MacroGenics MedImmune Merck Mersana Merus Millennium Molecular Templates Myraid Genetic Laboratories Novartis Nucana Olema OncoMed Onconova Therapeutics ORIC Pharmaceuticals Orinover Pfizer PharmaMar Pieris Pharmaceuticals Pionyr Immunotherapeutics Plexxikon Radius Health Regeneron Repertoire Immune Medicine Rgenix Roche/Genentech Seattle Genetics Sermonix Pharmaceuticals Shattuck Labs Silverback StemCentRx Sutro Syndax Syros Taiho TapImmune Tesaro Treadwell Therapeutics Verastem