Transition from workflow A to D could lead to 64% reduction in capacity and reduce throughput to 1/3rd. Solutions to increase treatment capacity: i.e. 10 or 12 hour overlapping shifts increased capacity by 25% and 50%, whereas performing 1 implant and delivering 2 fractions lead to 100% increase. These simulations were extrapolated to national scenario. Based on these simulations 23 states and UT will be able to transition to IGBT whereas 4 states will not meet treatment capacity. (Figure 1A-C). Additional 8 states/UT have no BT access. Further financial investment is needed in these 12 states/UT.

Conclusion Capacity upscale should be considered for IGBT implementation to prevent treatment delays. Further financial investment is needed at national level. The data is subject to infrastructure and skilled personnel to deliver IGBT.