but responses are short-lived. Immune-checkpoint inhibitors like atezolizumab as single agents have limited activity in ovarian cancer. There is a biologic rationale to combine check-point inhibitors with chemotherapy and bevacizumab, however, the role of such combination for the management of ovarian cancer is so far undefined. Because of the intimate relationship between angiogenesis and immunosuppression, it is expected that inhibition of both pathways could lead to synergism and more durable clinical benefit. The addition of a chemotherapeutic agent is expected to lead to the release of tumor antigens and enhance the efficacy of immunother-apy. Therefore, we aim to test the efficacy of atezolizumab in combination with non-platinum-based chemotherapy and bevacizumab vs the combination of a non-platinum-based chemotherapy and bevacizumab alone.

Methodology AGO-OVAR 2.29 is a randomized (1:1), double-blind, phase III trial evaluating the efficacy and safety of atezolizumab plus bevacizumab and chemotherapy (weekly paclitaxel or PLD) compared with placebo, bevacizumab and chemotherapy in patients with recurrent ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer within 1 or 2nd relapse within 6 months after completing platinum-based chemotherapy or 3rd relapse. Patients are treated until progression or unacceptable toxicity. A de novo tumor biopsy to determine the PD-L1 expression status prior to randomization for stratification is mandatory. Co-primary endpoints are overall survival and progression-free-survival. Target recruitment is 550 patients. Safety interim analyses were performed after inclusion of 24, 60 and 120 patients who had completed at least one cycle.

Results Screening was stopped on 23-May-2022. As of 30-May-2022, 554 patients have been randomized.

Conclusion This concept might open the possibility to investigate the synergetic effect of immune-checkpoint and angiogenesis inhibitors in ROC.
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impact of scientific-based geriatric, psychological and functional assessments based on mental, emotional, disease-related and patient-reported outcome measures (PROM), as well as to assess clinicopathological and disease-related variables. Based on this evidence, a predictive score will be developed. In a second stage of the study, we also plan to evaluate its predictive power to identify those fragile patients who will interrupt or discontinue recurrent chemotherapy within the first 12 weeks of therapy.
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**Introduction/Background**
A common treatment approach for patients with FIGO stage III/IV ovarian cancer is neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) with interval debulking surgery (IDS) with subsequent adjuvant chemotherapy. However, not all patients undergo the intended surgery for multiple reasons. Outcome data for these patients is limited, however, reduced survival has been reported in literature. This study aimed to assess and compare the oncological outcomes of patients who did not undergo IDS following NACT for stage III/IV ovarian cancer in Wales.

**Methodology**
The Wales Cancer Network identified all patients with stage III/IV ovarian cancer scheduled for NACT across the three Cancer centres in Wales in 2018 and 2019. The Welsh Clinical Portal and CANISC were used to gather data on patients’ demographics, disease stage, treatment plans, complications, reasons for not having surgery, and oncological outcomes.

**Results**
197 patients were included, of which 128 (65%) underwent surgery and 69 (35%) did not. Across Wales, the patients who had surgery were on average younger (64.2 vs 70.8 years), had fewer comorbidities (average 2.7 vs 3.0), but had the same average BMI (28.9) compared to those who did not. The majority of patients who underwent surgery had zero complications (58.6%). Across Wales, 99.13%, 93.91%, 74.78%, and 58.26% of patients who underwent IDS survived at 6, 12, 24, and 36 months respectively, compared to 90.00%, 73.33%, 40.00%, and 20.00% who did not (p=0.0034, 0.0001, 0.0001, 0.0001 respectively).

**Conclusion**
Across Wales, 35% of women with stage III/IV ovarian cancer did not undergo their intended surgery after NACT. In this retrospective cohort, the survival was lower in those who did not have surgery. Common reasons for not proceeding with surgery included disease progression, fitness for surgery, and patient choice.