Abstract 2022-RA-884-ESGO Table 1 Diagnostic tests of the different modalities in predicting resectable disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality (95%CI)</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
<th>LR+</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WB-DWI/MRI</td>
<td>93.5%</td>
<td>85.5%</td>
<td>83.5%</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>89.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(87.1 – (78.5 – (71.1 – (89.1 – (4.29 – (84.4 – (97.4%)</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td>97.2%</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB-DWI/MRI</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>85.4%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and positive</td>
<td>(30.9 – (40.8 – (75.5 – (13.3 – (0.61 – (35.6 – (50.8%)</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
<td>91.8%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>53.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGO</td>
<td>90.1%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>86.7%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and low</td>
<td>(82.5 – (11.9 – (82.9 – (19.8 – (0.96 – (71.9 – (95.2%)</td>
<td>54.3%</td>
<td>89.7%</td>
<td>59.4%</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>86.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval; PPV: positive predictive value; NPV: negative predictive value; LR+: positive likelihood ratio.

Conclusion
WB-DWI/MRI was the most suitable modality for the prediction of resectable disease at the time of SCS. Adding AGO or iMODEL score did not improve prediction of operable disease in our centre.
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Introduction/Background
The aim of the European multicentric prospective study (ISAAC study, Imaging Study on Advanced ovarIan cancer) was to test the non-inferiority of abdomino-pelvic ultrasound compared to computed tomography (CT) and whole-body diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (WB-DWI/MRI) in prediction of surgical outcome in patients with ovarian/tubal/peritoneal cancer.

Methodology
All consecutive patients, with suspected ovarian cancer planned for surgery underwent preoperative prediction of non-resectability with ultrasound, CT and WB-DWI/MRI at 5 European centres. The prediction of non-resectability was based on the European Society of Gynecologic Oncology (ESGO) criteria of non-resectability. Findings were compared to the reference standard (surgical outcome).

Results
The interim analysis looked at data of the first 59 patients enrolled between 01/2020 and 07/2021. They underwent ultrasound and CT (n=59), and WB-DWI/MRI (n=50). Among them, 83% (49/59) had advanced-stage and 17% (10/59) had early-stage ovarian cancer. Diagnostic laparoscopy only was performed in 12% (7/59) of the cases. In the remaining 88% (52/59) laparotomy was performed with no residual disease at the end of surgery (R0) in 75% (39/52), residual disease ≤1 cm in 10% (5/52) and residual disease >1 cm in 15% (8/52). The ultrasound imaging was non-inferior neither to CT (p-value = 0.029) nor to WB-DWI/MRI (p-value = 0.036). Regarding the prediction of resectability, ultrasound obtained the best results with an AUC of 0.85, sensitivity of 91.3% and specificity of 85.7%. CT and WB-DWI/MRI had similar results regarding AUC and sensitivity (0.79 vs 0.78 and 88.6% vs 87.5%), with lower specificity for CT (68.8% vs 86%).

Conclusion
This interim analysis represents the first prospective study documenting that ultrasound is not inferior to CT and WB-DWI/MRI in predicting the non-resectability of patients with ovarian cancer. ESGO criteria are easy to apply in preoperative imaging without a need for more complex scoring system.
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Introduction/Background
Primary Mucinous Epithelial Ovarian Cancer (PMEOC) is a rare disease representing 3–4% of all ovarian cancers. PMEOC often presents early (65–80%) and has a good overall prognosis. Poor prognostic factors include infiltrative histological subtype, capsule rupture, and advanced stage. The Pan-Birmingham Gynaecological Cancer Centre (PBGCC) serves a large multi-ethnic population of 2 million people; 82.8% white ethnicity, 10.8% South Asian ethnicity, 3.3% Black ethnicity, 2.4% Mixed ethnicity, 0.9% other ethnicity. We investigated whether ethnicity was a risk factor for differential outcomes in patients diagnosed with PMEOC.

Methodology
Case notes of patients diagnosed with PMEOC at PBGCC between December 2005- February 2022 were retrospectively analysed. Data analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel.

Results
All pathology was reviewed of the 160 cases identified to confirm PMEOC, 39 were excluded leaving 121 for data analysis. Patient ethnicities were: 17 (14%) South Asian, 85 (70%) white, 4 (3%) other, and 16 (13%) unknown. Age at diagnosis for the whole population was 55.4 (±4.1) and 25.1 (±8) years respectively. South Asian patients were more likely to be diagnosed with PMEOC ($p=0.025$). Poor prognostic factors included infiltrative histological subtype, capsule rupture, and advanced stage. The Pan-Birmingham Gynaecological Cancer Centre (PBGCC) serves a large multi-ethnic population of 2 million people; 82.8% white ethnicity, 10.8% South Asian ethnicity, 3.3% Black ethnicity, 2.4% Mixed ethnicity, 0.9% other ethnicity. We investigated whether ethnicity was a risk factor for differential outcomes in patients diagnosed with PMEOC.

Methodology
Case notes of patients diagnosed with PMEOC at PBGCC between December 2005- February 2022 were retrospectively analysed. Data analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel.

Results
All pathology was reviewed of the 160 cases identified to confirm PMEOC, 39 were excluded leaving 121 for data analysis. Patient ethnicities were: 17 (14%) South Asian, 85 (70%) white, 4 (3%) other, and 16 (13%) unknown. Age at diagnosis for the whole population was 55.4 (±3.1) years. Age for non South Asian remained normally distributed with a mean of 55.7 (±3.1) years. However, a bimodal age distribution was noted in South Asian patients with two distinct groups—40 and 40 years old with mean age at diagnosis being 55.4 (±4.1) and 25.1 (±8) years respectively. South Asian patients were more likely to be diagnosed with PMEOC ≤40 years old ($p=0.01$), stage 1C at diagnosis ($p=0.03$) and in women ≤40 more likely to have infiltrative histology ($p=0.025$).