Results S-PCI correlated with both OS (1.067, (1.018–1.119); p<0.007) and PFS. Patients exhibiting S-PCI of 18.5 or higher, adjusted to age, performance status and RD, had a two-fold risk of dying (HR 2.070, 95%CI 1.061–4.038; p=0.033). CT-PCI correlated significantly with OS in crude data (1.037, (1.005–1.071); p=0.025), but this was not sustained in multivariate analyses. Patients with RD at any size had more than two times higher risk of dying compared to those without RD (2.177, (1.235–3.838); p=0.007).

Conclusion The tumor extent at the beginning of surgery seemed to affect OS in patients with AOC, regardless RD at the end of the surgery. PCI above 18.5 doubled the risk of dying of the disease. No difference in major complications were noted in the two groups of patients. CT-PCI seemed to play a prognostic role for PFS, however as a prognostic factor for OS, it is still to be investigated.
Conclusion The reliability of the FS methodology was an accurate test to help perform appropriate surgery and plan swift oncological treatment. FS is a reliable method to diagnose invasive malignancies and benign pathology. The communication between the pathologist, surgeon, and medical oncologist is highly important for both intraoperative decision-making and postoperative patient care.

**Abstracts**

Introduction/Background In ARIEL3 (NCT01968213), progression-free survival (PFS) improved significantly with rucaparib maintenance treatment versus placebo. We present updated PFS2 and preplanned final overall survival (OS) analyses. Methodology ARIEL3 enrolled patients with platinum-sensitive, high-grade ovarian carcinoma who had received ≥2 previous platinum-based chemotherapy regimens and had responded to their last platinum-based regimen. Patients were randomised 2:1 to receive rucaparib 600 mg twice daily or placebo, with 3 protocol-defined nested cohorts: BRCA-mutant, homologous recombination deficient (HRD) and intent-to-treat (ITT). Efficacy outcomes for the nested cohorts included the secondary endpoint of OS (with analysis planned after 70% of events) and the exploratory endpoint of PFS2 (defined as time from randomisation to second event of investigator-assessed disease progression or death due to any cause). Patients were followed for the incidence of myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) and acute myeloid leukaemia (AML). Data cutoff dates were 31 December 2019 (safety), 4 April 2022 (efficacy) and 12 April 2022 (monitoring of MDS/AML).

Results After a median follow-up of 77.0 months in the ITT population, 410/564 (72.7%) of OS events had occurred. OS and PFS2 are presented in table 1. A PARP inhibitor was administered as subsequent treatment to ≈45% of patients who received placebo. Safety data were consistent with those of prior reports. MDS/AML was reported in 14 (3.8%) and 6 (3.2%) patients in the rucaparib and placebo arms, respectively (P = 0.72). Among these, 8 patients in the rucaparib arm and 6 in the placebo arm developed MDS/AML after completion of study drug treatment.

**Abstract 2022-RA-249-ESGO Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRCA</th>
<th>PFS2 events, n (N)</th>
<th>Median PFS, months (95% CI)</th>
<th>PFS2 RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>PFS2</th>
<th>OEs events, n (N)</th>
<th>Median OS, months (95% CI)</th>
<th>OS RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rucaparib</td>
<td>88 (75)</td>
<td>26.1 (23.8–28.4)</td>
<td>0.471 (0.408–0.540)</td>
<td>83 (73)</td>
<td>16.0 (13.7–19.6)</td>
<td>0.483 (0.291–0.800)</td>
<td>80 (55)</td>
<td>19.7 (17.4–22.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>102 (89)</td>
<td>13.4 (11.7–15.1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>94 (83)</td>
<td>11.8 (10.3–13.6)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>91 (61)</td>
<td>13.6 (11.3–16.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion These data support the use of rucaparib as a maintenance treatment for recurrent ovarian carcinoma. Although no OS benefit was observed, the PFS benefit for rucaparib was maintained through the next subsequent line of therapy.

**Abstract 2022-RA-272-ESGO**

**‘DOUBLE O’ TECHNIQUE OF BOWEL ANASTOMOSIS**

| TS Shylasree, 2Pabashi Poddar, 2Manish Bhandare. 1Gynecologic Oncology, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Aberdeen, UK; 2Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai, India

**Introduction/Background** Bowel resection and anastomosis is an integral part of subspecialty training in gynecological Oncology. The principles of bowel surgery are not only to remove cancer to achieve optimal debulking but also to reduce leak rate and postoperative morbidity. Reduction in leak rate is achieved by good technique and adequate training. In hand held anastomosis, proper suturing of the corners of the bowel is considered crucial to reduce leak rate. We hereby present a surgical video demonstrating a novel technique of bowel anastomosis.