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Objectives To establish the rate of surgical site infection in patients with endometrial carcinoma undergoing laparotomy using standard surgical dressings compared to those using negative wound pressure therapy (NPWT).

Methods Retrospective cohort analysis of 398 patients who underwent a laparotomy for endometrial carcinoma between 2013–2014 and 2018–2019 across three hospitals in New Zealand, to compare the effect of introduction of NPWT. SSI, wound dehiscence and return to theatre were compared between standard dressings and NPWT using logistic regression, controlled for grade of tumour, age, BMI, smoking status, diabetes and previous surgery.

Results There were 352 patients in the standard dressing group and 42 patients in the NPWT group with baseline difference in the smoking status and age. The mean age was 60 (range 25–91). The mean BMI was 37 (range 15–74). NPWT did not decrease the SSI rate (p=0.641) and return to theatre (0.226), but decreased the wound dehiscence rate (p=0.021, OR 2.773). Higher BMI was found to increase the SSI rate (0.226), but decreased the wound dehiscence rate (p=0.021, OR 2.773). NPWT was found to increase the SSI rate (p=0.001, OR 4.15).

Conclusions The results of this study suggest that negative pressure wound therapy does not decrease SSI rate, but decreases the wound dehiscence rate. Further randomised control trials in gynaecological oncology patients undergoing laparotomy are needed, especially for higher risk groups, such as obese patients.
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Objectives The aim of this study was to describe the early experience with ERAS protocols for gynecologic surgeries at an urban academic tertiary medical center.

Methods The target population was the women who underwent various types of gynecologic surgeries for both benign and malignant diseases between October 2020 and January 2021. Two separate analyses were performed: a case-matched analysis between ERAS vs. non-ERAS cohorts and a retrospective cohort comparative analysis between ERAS vs. historical non-ERAS comparison groups.

Results A total of 200 patients were evaluated (122 patients in the ERAS group vs. 78 patients in the non-ERAS group). Fasting times were significantly shorter in the ERAS cohort group (26.3 vs. 40.1 hours for solid food, 6.9 vs. 15.4 hours for clear liquid in the ERAS group vs. non-ERAS group, both p-values < 0.01). The use of opioid analgesia was also significantly lower (0.3 vs. 1.8 vials in the ERAS group vs. non-ERAS group, p-value <0.01), whereas NSAIDs and acetaminophen use was more frequent in the ERAS group. The patients in the ERAS group reported less post-operative pain, feelings of hunger and thirst, and greater amount of exercise. The length of hospital stay did not differ between the two groups. These benefits of the ERAS protocols were more significant in the patients who underwent laparotomic surgeries than those who underwent laparoscopic surgeries. Similar patterns of the results were observed from the historical comparison analysis.

Conclusions The ERAS protocols improved post-operative recovery after various gynecologic surgeries.
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and ileostomy. The VRAM (including skin, subcutaneous tissue, sheath and muscle) was then completed along the lateral aspect with preservation of the rectus abdominus insertion pedicle including inferior epigastric artery. The flap was rotated to the perineum with tubing of the neovagina and de-epithelization for pelvic floor reconstruction. The abdomen was closed with a vicryl mesh insert.

Conclusions VRAM flap provides a reliable blood supply and viable tissue from a non-irradiated site with acceptable scar-ring. This reduces issues with empty pelvic syndrome and allows for ligation of the internal iliac artery. The patient made an uneventful recovery with retained sensation of the remaining vulva and flap. She continues under surveillance and vaginal mechanical dilation regime. We emphasise preservation of appearance and function in achieving patient satisfaction.