assessment of a pelvic tumor and distant spread of the disease. Cervical cancer stages for each patient were modified according to 2018 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) staging for cervical cancer.

Result(s)* A total of 1896 new cervical cancer cases were assessed by our multidisciplinary tumor board in a period of 14 years. Five hundred and eight patients (27%) were eligible for surgical treatment. Distribution according to stage revealed 62 patients with stage IA2 (12%), 318 (63%) IB1, 82(16%) IB2 and 46 patients (9%) with stage IIA. Class B radical hysterectomy was performed in 380 patients (75%), while the rest 128 (25%) underwent a Class C procedure. In all cases, we performed a full bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy, followed by palpation of para-aortic lymph nodes. We also recorded 90 (4.7%) patients eligible for fertility-sparing treatment, that underwent radical trachelectomy with pelvic lymphadenectomy. The rest 1388 patients were diagnosed with advanced stage disease (IIB-IV) and were referred to definitive concurrent chemoradiation treatment or chemotherapy, followed by radical radiotherapy and brachytherapy.

Conclusion* Designing the optimal treatment for patients diagnosed with cervical cancer demands a multidisciplinary approach, a thorough assessment of the extension of the disease and a detailed consultation for the patient and her family.

752

THE ANALYSIS OF STATISTICS OF CERVICAL CANCER IN UKRAINE

L Zrielykh*. *Universal Clinic 'Oberig', Center of oncology, Kyiv, Ukraine*

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.66

Introduction/Background* Cervical cancer (CC) takes the fourth place in incidence and mortality rate in women worldwide. In Ukraine cervical cancer occupies the fifth place in the incidence and seventh place in the mortality rate from cancer in female population.

Methodology Analysis of data of Globocan 2020, National cancer registry.

Result(s)* Number of new CC cases in 2015 in Ukraine was 4166, in 2019 – 3936. Number of deaths from CC in 2015 was 1687, in 2019 – 1579.

Age-standardised incidence rate (world standard) of CC in 2015 was 8,2; in 2019 – 7,6. Age-standardised mortality rate (world standard) in 2015 was 3,1; in 2019 – 2,8.

The relative frequency of cervical cancer incidence in the structure of 10 leading cancer sites in Ukraine in 2015 was 5,9%; in 2019 – 5,4% (both 5th place). The relative frequency of CC mortality in the structure of 10 most common cancer sites in Ukraine in 2015 was 5,8%; in 2019 – 5,9% (both 7th place).

The relative frequency of cervical cancer incidence in the structure of 5 leading cancer sites in age group 18-29 years in Ukraine in 2015 was 11,8% (3rd place); in 2019 – 8,1% (4th place); in age group 30-54 years in 2015 – 13,4%; in 2019 – 12,4% (both 2nd place).

The relative frequency of cervical cancer mortality in the structure of 5 leading cancer sites in age group 18-29 years in Ukraine in 2015 was 8,4; in 2019 – 13,6% (both 3rd place); in age group 30-54 years in 2015 – 14,2%; in 2019 – 16% (both 2nd place).

In 2016 patients diagnosed with 4th stage of CC comprised 4,8%, in 2020 – 9,1%.

Main problems of cervical cancer in Ukraine are the absence of government vaccination programme and lack of women's adherence for regular screening and treatment.

Conclusion* According to statistical analysis the incidence and mortality rate of CC in 2019 remains at the same level as in the 2015.

The number of patients with 4th stage has almost doubled in 2020 compared to 2016.

In 2015 CC occupied the 3rd place in the incidence of 5 leading cancer sites in age group 18-29 years, in 2019 this pathology ranks the 4th place, yielding to breast cancer.

771

OUTCOMES FOLLOWING OPEN RADICAL HYSTERECTOMY AFTER IMPLEMENTATION OF AN ENHANCED RECOVERY AFTER SURGERY (ERAS) PROGRAM

¹N Agusti*, ²M Frumovitz, ²A Zorrilla-Vaca, ³B Segarra Vidal, ²R Dos Reis, ²M Inieta, ²P Ramirez. ¹Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; ²The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, USA; ³Hospital La Fe, Valencia, Spain

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.67

Introduction/Background* Open approach has become the standard for many institutions when performing a radical hysterectomy for early cervical cancer. The objective of this study was to evaluate the length of stay after open radical hysterectomy before and after the implementation of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) pathway in a tertiary cancer center.

Methodology This is a retrospective study of patients who underwent open radical hysterectomy for cervical cancer from January 2009 to December 2020. We distinguished two groups based on the time of ERAS implementation: group 1 (pre-ERAS) between January 2009 and October 2014 and group 2 (post-ERAS) between November 2014 and December 2020. We also defined two time periods according to the application of open radical hysterectomy as a standard of care. Univariate analysis was applied and P values were reported using chi-squared or Fisher's exact test.

Result(s)* A total of 81 patients were included; 29 patients in group 1 and 52 patients in group 2. There were no differences in terms of age (median of 42 years [35-53] in group 1 vs 41 years [35-49] in group 2; p=0.47) and BMI (26.1 kg/

Abstract 771 Table 1 Postoperative outcomes group 1 (pre-ERAS) vs group 2 (post-ERAS)

Outcome	Group 1 (Pre-ERAS) 2009-2014 N=29	Group 2 (Post-ERAS) 2015-2020 N=52	P value
Hospital stay	4 [3-4]	3 [2-3]	< 0.01
Blood transfusion (in-hospital)	8 (27.8%)	8 (15.4%)	0.18
Overall complication events	13 (44.8%)	20 (38.5%)	0.57
Clavien-Dindo			
None	16 (55.2%)	32 (61.5%)	0.56
I	6 (20.7%)	9 (17.3%)	0.71
II	6 (20.7%)	13 (25.0%)	0.66
III	1 (3.4%)	3 (5.7%)	0.64
IV	1 (3.4%)	3 (5.8%)	0.64
< 30 days, n (%)			
Readmission	6 (20.7%)	9 (17.3%)	0.40
> 30 days, n (%)			
Readmission	3 (10.3%)	1 (1.9%)	0.05