SLNs were processed with an ultrastaging technique. Between 26 June 2014 and 31 December 2019 with 333 patients we applied the previous treatment algorithms. Between January and 30 August 2021 we did only SNL in 45 patients.

Result(s)* Comparison of the results between the ancient and the new serie (ancient/new): Detection rate 94%/97.7% overall for SLNs; 91.3%/97.7% overall for pelvic SLNs; 70.5%/88.8% for bilateral SLNs; 68.1%/88.8% for para-aortic SLNs, and 2.9%/0% for isolated paraaortic SLNs. Macrometastasis 18%/6% patients and microdisease 17.6%/8.8% patients, overall rate of LN involvement 16.2%/11%. Isolated Aortic metastases 4.2%/2.2% (14/333–1/45). Assuming the results of the ancient serie there was one false/negative (negative SLN with positive lymphadenectomy). Our sensitivity of detection was 98.3% (95% CI 91–99.7), specificity 100% (95% CI 98.5–100), negative predictive value 99.6% (95% CI 97.8–99.9), and positive predictive value 100% (95% CI 93.8–100).

Conclusion* SLN biopsy is an acceptable alternative to systematic lymphadenectomy for LN staging in stage I/II. We avoid 22/45 (48.8%) lymphadenectomies with new algorithm, reducing the morbidity in our patients. Our surgical times were shorter improving our theaters efficiency with all that implies for. Additionally, this technique allows a high rate of aortic detection, identifying a non-negligible percentage of isolated aortic metastases. Isolated Aortic metastases in endometrial cancer are possible and we should not give up actively looking for them.

773

THE IMPACT OF SENTINEL LYMPH NODE BIOPSY ALONE ON SURVIVAL OF PATIENTS WITH ENDOMETRIAL CANCER (TRSGO-SLN-007)

¹D Altın*, ²S Taşkın, ³N Tokgözoğlu, ⁴D Vatansever, ⁵AH Güler, ⁶M Gungor, ⁷T Taşçı, ⁸H Turan, ⁹I Kahramanoglu, ¹⁰I Yalçın, ⁵C Celik, ⁶F Köse, ²FU Ortac, ¹¹M Arvas, ¹²A Ayhan, ⁴C Taskiran. ¹Ordu Üniversitesi Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Turkey; ²Ankara Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Turkey; ³Prof. Dr. Cemil Taşcıoğlu Şehir Hastanesi, Turkey; ⁴Koc University, Turkey; ⁵Selçuk Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Turkey; ⁶Acibadem University School of Medicine, Turkey; ⁷Bahçeşehir Üniversite Hastanesi Medical Park Göztepe, Turkey; ⁸T C Sağlık Bakanlığı İstanbul Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Turkey; ⁹Emsey Hastanesi, Turkey; ¹⁰Ondokuz Mayıs University, Turkey; ¹¹Cerrahpaşa Tıp Fakültesi, Turkey; ¹²Başkent Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Turkey

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.653

Introduction/Background* Diagnostic efficacy of sentinel lymph node (SLN) biopsy is proven in many studies in terms of the detection of lymphatic spread in endometrial cancer. However, there are limited data about the effect of SLN biopsy only on survival. The aim of this study was to investigate whether SLN biopsy only compromises oncologic outcomes compared to systematic lymphadenectomy in a large cohort.

Methodology In this multicentric study, records of 564 endometrial cancer patients who underwent surgical staging with either sentinel lymph node biopsy alone or sentinel lymph node biopsy followed by systematic lymphadenectomy with at least 6 months of follow-up time were retrospectively reviewed. The impact of type of lymphadenectomy and histopathologic factors on recurrence, disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) were assessed. DFS and OS rates were calculated using Kaplan-Meier method and log-

rank test was used to calculate statistical significance between the groups. Cox univariate and multivariate analyses were used to identify prognostic factors for DFS and OS.

Result(s)* Median follow up time was 28 months (range: 6-130) and 14 (2.5%) of the 21 (3.7%) deaths were due to the disease. 2- and 3-year OS were 98.2% and 97%, respectively. Median time to recurrence was 12.5 months (range: 3-30). Sites of the 42 (7.4%) recurrences were as follows: 12 (28.6%) locoregional, 19 (45.2%) distant, 3 (7.1%) nodal and 8 (19%) more than one site. 2- and 3-year DFS were 93.1% and 92.6%, respectively. While non-endometrioid subtypes ($p=0.048$), grade 3 histology ($p<0.001$) and presence of lymphovascular space invasion (LVSI) ($p<0.001$) were found as independent prognostic factors for decreased DFS, age ($p=0.017$) and tumor size ($p=0.041$) were independent factors for shorter OS. Type of lymphadenectomy was not a prognostic factor lymphatic recurrence, DFS and OS.

Conclusion* Our study showed that removal of only SLNs was not associated with worse survival compared to systematic lymphadenectomy in endometrial cancer patients. Nodal recurrence rate was also similar between the groups.

813

FERTILITY PRESERVATION IN ENDOMETRIAL CANCER: PERINATAL AND ONCOLOGIC OUTCOMES

V García Pineda*, M Turiel, M Gracia, I Zapardiel, MD Diestro, J Siegrist, Y Pérez Martínez, A Hernández. La Paz University Hospital, Gynaecological Oncology, Madrid, Spain

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.654

Introduction/Background* The aim of our study is to evaluate the oncological and perinatal outcomes in young women diagnosed with atypical endometrial hyperplasia (AH) or endometrial cancer (EC) treated with hormone therapy.

Methodology A single institutional ambispective study was performed including all patients diagnosed with AH or EC grade 1 without myometrial invasion who received hormone therapy between January 2011 and July 2021. We analyzed the complete response rate and recurrence rate of disease and pregnancy rate in these patients as well as perinatal results (live births rate, type of delivery and perinatal morbidity). In addition, we evaluated complete response rate according to type of hormone therapy, dosage received and treatment length.

A review of literature was performed to identify studies involving patients with AH or EC who received fertility sparing management.

All statistical analysis were performed using the software SPSS Statistics v.24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Result(s)* There were 6 patients with AH/EC (4 and 2 patients respectively) who received hormone therapy with a mean treatment time of 8.6 ± 1.96 months. Hormone therapy with megestrol acetate was carried out in 4 patients (66.6%). Complete remission was achieved in 5 patients (83.3%) and 2 of them (33.3%) attempted pregnancy. Finally, no complications during pregnancy were reported in this 2 patients and both had normal delivery. The rate of live birth was 33.3%. During the follow-up no recurrences were detected and overall survival was 100%

Conclusion* Conservative management with progestins of young patients with AH or EC grade 1 limited to the endometrium is an acceptable possibility given the high remission

rate and live birth rates achieved. However, definitive surgical treatment cannot be avoided given the high recurrence rate described in the literature.

1078

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SENTINEL NODE TECHNIQUE FOR ENDOMETRIAL CANCER IN BELGIUM: A MULTICENTRIC RETROSPECTIVE STUDY FROM 2015 TO 2020

^{1,2}M Luyckx*, ³M Fastrez, ⁴F Goffin, ⁵M Jouret, ⁶Z Al Abkadi, ⁶T Willems, ⁷F Buxant, ⁸D Buccella, ⁹M De Cuyper, ⁹A Kakkos, ⁹F Kridelka, ¹⁰L Debuyt, ¹⁰B Vandermeersch, ¹¹K Crener, ¹²N Dubois, ¹²P Van Gossum, ¹³F Grandjean, ¹⁴V Malvaux, ¹⁴JC Lousse, ¹J Squifflet. ¹Cliniques universitaires Saint-Luc (UCLouvain), Gynecology and Andrology, Bruxelles, Belgium; ²De Duve Institute UCLouvain, TIL's group, Woluwe-Saint-Lambert, Belgium; ³Hospital Erasme, gynecology and obstetrics, Bruxelles, Belgium; ⁴CHR de la Citadelle, gynecology and obstetrics, Liège, Belgium; ⁵Centre Hospitalier de Wallonie picarde (CHWapi), gynecology and obstetric, Tournai, Belgium; ⁶Grand Hôpital De Charleroi – Notre Dame, gynecology and obstetrics, Charleroi, Belgium; ⁷Hôpital Etterbeek-Ixelles/Ziekenhuis Etterbeek-Elsene, gynecology and obstetrics, Ixelles, Belgium; ⁸CHU Saint-Pierre, gynecology and obstetrics, Bruxelles, Belgium; ⁹Hospital Center Universitaire De Liege, Site N.-D. Des Bruyères, gynecology and obstetrics, Liège, Belgium; ¹⁰Clinique Sainte-Anne Saint-Rémi, gynecology and obstetrics, Anderlecht, Belgium; ¹¹Chu Ambroise Paré, gynecology and obstetrics, Mons, Belgium; ¹²Clinique Saint Jean, gynecology and obstetrics, Bruxelles, Belgium; ¹³Europe Hospitals – St-Michel Site, gynecology and obstetrics, Etterbeek, Belgium; ¹⁴Saint-Pierre Ottignies Clinic, gynecology and obstetrics, Ottignies-Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.655

Introduction/Background* Sentinel node is a very powerful tool in endometrial cancer, giving information on nodal status involvement, with low morbidity. Within a few years, it became part of the standard treatment, at least for low and intermediate-risk patients. Its implementation, safety and reliability, and the evolution of the patient have to be monitored to confirm its great added value and its place in the standard of care for endometrial cancer

Methodology We performed a multicenter retrospective review of all endometrial cancer cases in which SN procedures (with/without pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy) was planned to be performed, from the centers of the gynecological oncology group (ONCO-GF) of the Gynecology and Obstetrics association, french speaking part in Belgium (CR-GOLFB). Academic and non-academic hospitals participate. The study was accepted by the Ethical Committee of the coordinating center of the study (Cliniques Universitaires St Luc) and registered on clincltrial.gov (NCT02545348).

Result(s)* To date, 233 patients were included in the study but some center have still to send a part of their data. Preliminary data show that 96% of the surgery we performed by minimally invasive approach. Overall detection rate is 90% but only 69% of bilateral detection. Regarding the tracer, the best bilateral detection rate was obtained with Indocyanine green (84%). With the methylene blue alone, no detection occurs in 22% of cases. In a preliminary pathological analysis of the sentinel node, 17% of SN were infiltrated, 8% with macro metastasis, 2% of micro metastasis, and 8% isolated tumor cells (ITC). We did not record any major intraoperative complication, but one late post-operative dead, in a patient with complete pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy with a duodenal breach that leads to late aortic-duodenal fistula.

Conclusion* Our preliminary data show a very good detection rate of 96%, even if bilateral detection was only 69%, in a

group of patients from academic and non-academic centers. Learning curves and the evolution of the patient must still be evaluated. The complete data will be presented at the congress.

1196

A RANDOMISED PHASE II STUDY OF COMBINATION CHEMOTHERAPY WITH NINTEDANIB/PLACEBO IN ADVANCED/RECURRENT ENDOMETRIAL CANCER. FANDANGO/ENGOT-EN1/FANDANGO

¹M Mirza, ²D Berton, ³I Vergote, ⁴R Depont Christensen, ⁵A Floquet, ⁶J Maenpaa, ⁷I Braicu, ⁸S Altintas, ⁹P Follana, ¹⁰A Ør Knudsen, ¹¹B Ataseven, ¹²F Selle, ¹³C Lundgren, ¹⁴J Huober, ¹⁵M Fabbro, ¹⁶H Denys, ¹⁷P Heudel, ⁴M Magnusson, ¹⁸K Lindemann, ⁷J Sehoul. ¹Rigshospitalet, Denmark; ²GINECO: Groupe d'Investigateurs National des Etudes des Cancers Ovariens et du sein and ICO Centre René Gauducheau, Saint-Herblain, France; ³BGO: Belgium and Luxembourg Gynaecological Oncology Group and Universitaire Ziekenhuizen Leuven, , Belgium; ⁴NSGO-CTU; ⁵GINECO: Groupe d'Investigateurs National des Etudes des Cancers Ovariens et du sein and Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux, France; ⁶Tampere University Hospital, Finland; ⁷Charité, Germany; ⁸BGO: Belgium and Luxembourg Gynaecological Oncology Group and Antwerp University Hospital, Belgium; ⁹GINECO: Groupe d'Investigateurs National des Etudes des Cancers Ovariens et du sein and Centre Antoine Lacassagne Nice, France; ¹⁰NSGO-CTU: Nordic Society of Gynaecological Oncology – Clinical Trial Unit and University Hospital Odense, Denmark; ¹¹NOGGO: Northeast German Society of Gynaecological Oncology and Kliniken Essen Mitte, , Germany; ¹²GINECO: Groupe d'Investigateurs National des Etudes des Cancers Ovariens et du sein and Groupe Hospitalier Diaconesses, Paris, France; ¹³NSGO-CTU: Nordic Society of Gynaecological Oncology – Clinical Trial Unit and Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden; ¹⁴NOGGO: Northeast German Society of Gynaecological Oncology and University Hospital Ulm, Germany; ¹⁵GINECO: Groupe d'Investigateurs National des Etudes des Cancers Ovariens et du sein and ICM Val d'Aurelle, Montpellier, France; ¹⁶BGO: Belgium and Luxembourg Gynaecological Oncology Group and Gent University Hospital, Belgium; ¹⁷GINECO: Groupe d'Investigateurs National des Etudes des Cancers Ovariens et du sein and Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon; ¹⁸Oslo university hospital, Norway

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.656

Introduction/Background* Endometrial cancer (EC) patients (Pts) with advanced and recurrent disease relapse despite treatment with combination chemotherapy and have a short progression-free survival (PFS). Nintedanib (N) is a potent, orally available triple receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor targeting VEGFR 1–3, PDGFR α/β , and FGFR 1–3. This study explored the preliminary efficacy of nintedanib in EC.

Methodology The primary objective of this placebo-controlled, randomized study was to evaluate efficacy defined by median PFS of concomitant and maintenance N against placebo (P) in combination with chemotherapy. Patients with histologically confirmed stage IIIC2 or IVA & B or relapsed after adjuvant therapy for stage I-III disease; prior surgery; adjuvant chemotherapy; radiation therapy; hormonal therapy in metastatic setting; with measurable/non-measurable disease were permitted. Pts were randomized 1:1 to receive N 200mg or P, twice daily days 2–21 during chemotherapy (six cycles of Carboplatin (AUC5) and paclitaxel (175mg/m2) every 21 days) and continuously in maintenance phase. N/P was continued until disease progression, unacceptable toxicity, or withdrawal of consent. Stratification by stage of disease, prior adjuvant chemotherapy and measurable/non-measurable disease. This is an ENGOT Model A study. Clinical trial information: NCT02730416.

Result(s)* 146 of 148 pts were eligible for PFS: 72N/74P; mean age 66yrs; FIGO stage III 18%, IV 42%, recurrent 40%; follow-up 30 mo. N added to chemotherapy did not improve PFS (119 events) as compared to chemotherapy plus