Introduction/Background* Niraparib is a poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor approved as maintenance treatment for patients (pts) with newly diagnosed advanced or recurrent ovarian cancer following a response to platinum-based chemotherapy (CT) doublet. The PRIMA/ENGOT-OV26/GOG-3012 (NCT02655016) study showed that niraparib following first-line treatment improved progression-free survival (PFS) in the overall intention-to-treat (ITT) population (hazard ratio [HR] 0.62; 95% CI 0.50–0.76).

Methodology This double-blind, placebo (PBO)-controlled, phase 3 trial evaluated niraparib in pts with newly diagnosed, advanced, high-grade serous or endometrioid ovarian, primary peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer with a complete or partial response to first-line CT. Pts were considered to be at a high risk for disease progression based on their clinical characteristics. This post-hoc analysis presents the efficacy of niraparib, measured by PFS, based on time of surgery and residual disease status, and was not powered to determine differences among the subgroups.

Result(s)* Data cutoff was May 2019. In total, 733 pts were randomized in the PRIMA study. Efficacy outcomes by surgical timing, either primary debulking surgery (PDS) or interval debulking surgery (IDS), and postoperative residual disease status, either no visible residual disease (NVRD) or visible residual disease (VRD), are shown in table 1. Pts who underwent PDS or IDS had similar efficacy with niraparib maintenance treatment versus PBO in the ITT population (PFS HRs were 0.67 and 0.57, respectively). Niraparib treatment reduced risk of progression by 42% in pts who received PDS and had VRD, 35% in those with IDS and NVRD, and 59% in those with IDS and VRD. Efficacy was not evaluable for pts with PDS and NVRD due to low sample size.

Conclusion* In this post-hoc analysis, the impact of residual disease after PDS or IDS on the efficacy of niraparib was comparable across subgroups. Pts with IDS and VRD had the highest reduction in the risk of progression.

180

REAL-WORLD TREATMENT PATTERNS AND OUTCOMES WITH FIRST-LINE MAINTENANCE OLAPARIB FOR BRCAm ADVANCED OVARIAN CANCER: A PAN-EUROPEAN STUDY (OVAL-1)

¹C Gourley*, ²B Asselain, ³D Garbay, ⁴D Lorusso, ⁵R Miller, ⁶O Condon, ⁷M Kertous, ⁸C Padrone, ⁹U Rigney, ¹⁰S Dube. ¹Cancer Research UK Edinburgh Centre, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK; ²Arcagy-GINECO, Paris, France; ³Clinique Tivoli Ducos, Bordeaux, France; ⁴Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy; ⁵University College London Hospital, London, UK; ⁶AstraZeneca, Luton, UK; ⁷AstraZeneca, Courbevoie, France; ⁸AstraZeneca, Italy

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.350

Introduction/Background* Standard therapy for advanced ovarian cancer (OC) includes radical debulking surgery followed by first-line platinum-based chemotherapy, although neo-adjuvant chemotherapy can be used. Most women with newly diagnosed advanced OC relapse within 3 years of standard treatment.

In SOLO1, patients with advanced OC and a *BRCA1* and/or *BRCA2* mutation (BRCAm), in complete or partial response following first-line platinum-based chemotherapy, received maintenance olaparib or placebo for up to 2 years or until progression. At 5-year follow-up, median progression-free survival (PFS) was 56 months with olaparib vs 14 months with placebo (hazard ratio 0.33; 95% confidence interval 0.25–0.43). The OVAL-1 study will provide evidence on real-world effectiveness of olaparib in patients with BRCAm advanced OC treated in the first-line maintenance setting in France, Italy and the UK.

Methodology Retrospective cohort, pan-European multicentre observational study with data abstracted from medical records at several time points until ≥ 3 years after first olaparib dose (index date).

Eligible patients are adult females with a BRCAm and advanced (FIGO stage III/IV) OC, who received their first olaparib (tablet) dose between January 2019 and June 2020 in

Abstract 177 Table 1 Efficacy results by time of surgery and visible residual disease status

		ITT	NVRD (R0)	VRD (R1/R2)
All patients	N HR (95% CI) P mPFS (nir vs PBO) Δ mPFS	733* 0.62 (0.5–0.76) P<0.0001 13.8 vs 8.2 5.6		
PDS	N HR (95% CI) mPFS (nir vs PBO) Δ mPFS	236** 0.67 (0.468–0.964) 13.7 vs 8.2 5.5	37 NE NE NE	183 0.58 (0.391–0.864) 11.8 vs 7.8 4
IDS/NACT	N HR (95% CI) mPFS (nir vs PBO) Δ mPFS	481*** 0.57 (0.441–0.731) 14.2 vs 8.2 6	304 0.65 (0.461–0.91) 18.2 vs 10.9 7.3	149 0.41 (0.269–0.620) 11.1 vs 5.6 5.5

*16 patients had no debulking surgery.

**16 patients had unknown residual disease status.

***28 patients had unknown residual disease status.

HR, hazard ratio; IDS, interval debulking surgery; ITT, intention-to-treat; mPFS, median progression-free survival; NACT, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; NE, not evaluable; nir, niraparib; NVRD, no visible residual disease; PBO, placebo; PDS, primary debulking surgery; VRD, visible residual disease.

the first-line maintenance setting via Early Access Programmes (Italy, UK), Temporary Use Authorization (France) or reimbursement following regulatory approval (Italy, UK). Main study endpoint is real-world PFS. Secondary endpoints include overall survival and response rates. The study will also describe surrogate measures of response and tolerability, including time to discontinuation, dose modifications (with reasons) and time to first and second subsequent treatment. Outcomes will be described by key subgroup status pre-index, including performance status, FIGO stage, BRCA status, debulking surgery outcome and clinical response to chemotherapy. The study aims to include 350 patients. Retrospective data collection began in December 2020 and is planned to end by Q3 2023. As of April 2021, 69 patients have participated.

184 UPLIFT (ENGOT-OV67/GOG-3048) A PIVOTAL COHORT OF UPIFITAMAB RILSODOTIN, A NAPI2B-DIRECTED ADC IN PLATINUM-RESISTANT OVARIAN CANCER

¹N Concin*, ^{2,3}E Hamilton, ⁴LM Randall, ⁵S Banerjee, ⁶L Mileshekin, ^{7,8}RL Coleman, ^{9,10,11}B Monk, ^{12,13,14,15,16,17}MR Mirza, ¹⁸A Savarese, ¹⁹J Ray-Coquard, ²⁰A Perez Fidalgo, ²¹P Bernardo, ²¹E Putiri, ²¹R Burger, ²¹R Mosher, ²²D Richardson, ²³D Cibula, ²⁴R Madry, ²⁵R Chakerov, ²⁶T Van Gorp. ¹Medical University of Innsbruck, Austria, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Innsbruck, Austria; ²Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Nashville, United States; ³Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, United States; ⁴VCU Health System, MCV Physicians, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Oncology, Richmond, United States; ⁵The Royal Marsden Nhs Trust, Institute of Cancer Research, London, United Kingdom; ⁶Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Australia; ⁷Texas Oncology-The Woodlands, The Woodlands, United States; ⁸GOG Foundation; ⁹Arizona Oncology, US Oncology Network, Phoenix, United States; ¹⁰US Oncology, Gynecologic Program; ¹¹GOG Partners; ¹²Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark; ¹³Nordic Society of Gynecological Oncology, Clinical Trial Unit, Denmark; ¹⁴European Network of Gynecological Trial groups; ¹⁵Gynecologic Cancer Inter-Group; ¹⁶European Society of Medical Oncology; ¹⁷European Society of Gynecological Oncology; ¹⁸Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome, Italy; ¹⁹Léon Bérard Center, Lyon, France; ²⁰Hospital Clínic Universitari, Valencia, Spain; ²¹Mersana Therapeutics Inc, Cambridge, United States; ²²OU Health Stephenson Cancer Center, Oklahoma City, United States; ²³Charles University, Czech Republic; ²⁴Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznań, Poland; ²⁵Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany; ²⁶Uz Leuven, oncology, Leuven, Belgium

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.351

Introduction/Background* Upifitamab rilsodotin (XMT-1536; UpRi), is a first-in-class Dolaflexin antibody-drug conjugate targeting NaPi2b, a sodium-dependent phosphate transport protein broadly expressed in solid tumors including high-grade serous epithelial ovarian cancer (OC). UpRi's safety and efficacy are being evaluated in a Phase I study (NCT03319628). Preliminary antitumor activity from an expansion cohort of heavily-pretreated OC patients has been reported (Hamilton et al, ESMO 2020). A data-cut of December 2020 demonstrated an ORR of 39% including 2 CRs and DCR of 81% in 26 OC patients with high NaPi2b expression (TPS $\geq$ 75). The 2 patients achieving CR had previously been treated with bevacizumab and PARPi (Richardson et al, ASCO 2021, TPS5607). The prevalence of a TPS $\geq$ 75 is greater than 60%.

PROC remains a serious unmet medical need as available treatment options provide modest benefit of no more than 12% ORR and median OS less than 12 months. Based on encouraging anti-tumor activity of UpRi, UPLIFT was designed as a Phase 2 single-arm registration strategy for PROC as part of the ongoing study.

Methodology The UPLIFT cohort is enrolling patients with platinum resistant high grade serous ovarian, fallopian tube

and primary peritoneal cancer with up to 4 prior lines of therapy. Prior bevacizumab is required for patients with 1 or 2 prior lines of therapy but is not required for patients with 3-4 prior lines of therapy. UPLIFT will enroll approximately 180 patients globally for 100 patients with high NaPi2b expression. UpRi is dosed intravenously at 43 mg/m² every 4 weeks. Patients may enroll regardless of NaPi2b expression and regardless of baseline peripheral neuropathy. Baseline tumor samples (fresh or archived) will be collected for retrospective tumor tissue evaluation of NaPi2b expression.

Result(s)* The primary objective is assessment of objective response rate in patients with high NaPi2b expression. The cut-off for high NaPi2b expression is TPS $\geq$ 75 and was based on data from the expansion cohort. Secondary endpoints include objective response rate in the overall population, duration of response, and adverse events.

Conclusion* This study is being conducted in collaboration with ENGOT and GOG. Patients will be enrolled globally. (NCT03319628).

186 SURGICAL OUTCOME AS PROGNOSTIC FACTOR IN DIFFERENT HISTOLOGIC SUBTYPES OF OVARIAN CARCINOMA- ANALYSIS OF 7 PHASE III TRIALS BY AGO STUDYGROUP + ENGOT

^{1,2}F Heitz*, ^{1,2}A Du Bois, ^{2,3}A ReuB, ⁴E Pujade-Lauraine, ⁵MR Mirza, ⁶S Gregg, ⁷N Colombo, ⁸C Marth, ⁹B Vergote, ^{1,2}P Harter, ¹⁰I Ray-Coquard, ¹¹GB Kristensen, ^{2,12}S Mahner, ¹³AC Hardy, ¹⁴J Sehouli, ^{2,15}P Wimberger, ^{2,16}M Eichbaum, ²G Elser, ^{2,17}W Meier, ^{2,18}J Pfisterer. ¹Kliniken Essen-Mitte, Gynecology and Gynecologic Oncology; ²AGO Study Group, Wiesbaden; ³Philipps University Marburg, Coordinating Center for Clinical Trials of the Philipps-University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany; ⁴GINECO and Hôpital Dieu, Paris, France; ⁵NSGO & Rigshospitalet, Dept. of Oncology; Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen; ⁶MITO & Istituto Nazionale per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori di Napoli, Gynecologic Oncology, Naples, Italy; ⁷MaNGO & European Institute of Oncology, Gynecologic Oncology, Milano, Italy; ⁸AGO-A & Innsbruck Medical University, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Innsbruck; ⁹BGO & University Hospitals Leuven, Dept. of Gynaecological Oncology, Leuven, Belgium; ¹⁰GINECO & Centre Leon Bérard Université Claude Bernard Lyon Est, Lyon, France; ¹¹NSGO & Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; ¹²University Hospital, LMU Munich, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology; ¹³GINECO & Hôpital Privé des Côtes d'Armor, Plérin; ¹⁴NOGGO & Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Virchow, Dpt. Gynecology with Center for Oncological Surgery, Berlin, Germany; ¹⁵University Hospital Essen and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, TU Dresden, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Dresden; ¹⁶Helios Dr. Horst Schmidt Kliniken Wiesbaden, Department of Gynecology, Wiesbaden; ¹⁷Düsseldorf University Hospital and Evangelisches Krankenhaus Düsseldorf, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Düsseldorf, Germany; ¹⁸Gynecologic Oncology Center, Kiel, Germany

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.352

Introduction/Background* Debulking surgery is the mainstay of treatment for patients (pts) with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC). Upfront surgery (PDS) with complete macroscopic resection (TR0) is associated with best survival while PDS to small residual disease (TR1-10) provides moderate benefit in high grade serous OC. The impact of resection status in other histological subtypes so far has not been defined and especially the role of TR1-10 is under debate. This analysis should help to better understand the interplay between histological subtype, surgical outcome, and prognosis.

Methodology Data of patients (>FIGO IIIB) from 7 AGO-Studygroup led phase III multicentre trials (AGO-OVAR 3,5,7,9,11,12,15), (1995–2011) were pooled and analysed with focus on PDS resection status on overall survival (OS) in different histological subtypes: low grade (low grade serous or endometrioid), mucinous, clear cell, and high-grade (e.g.