Methodology at Northampton general hospital ,we determined the SoCs for early endometrial endometriod cancer (EEC) and uterine sarcomas in accordance to BGCS guidelines. Then conducted an audit , examined the uterine cancer cases discussed in MDTs retrospectively over the period of nov 2020 to march 2021 .Cases which were reviewed are endometrial endometriod cancer (FIGO stage 1) and cases of fibroid uterus discussed due to suspicion of uterine sarcomas. In total 63 EEC and 18 fibroid uterus cases were discussed in 21 MDT meetings over 5 months.

Result(s)* Radiologically and histologically the cases were in concordance to the initial reporting thus not affecting the management decision of EEC . 20% of EEC and 38% of fibroid uterus cases were discussed more than once due to logistical issues. Of Fibroid uterus cases , 84% turned out to be benign and 15% malignancies.MDT discussion could not contribute to the sarcomas as radiologically degenerating fibroids vs sarcoma decision was inconclusive leading to standard laparotomy and debulking decision, after ruling out extra uterine disease.

Conclusion* Recommendations drawn of this audit will now be implemented as- Each MDT will have a separate section as not for full discussion list. These cases will only be discussed if there is any doubt, any queries, or new information becomes available. Regular audit will be conducted of cases not discussed in relation to the appropriateness of patients receiving a SoC and their outcome on the following grounds-

Percentage of patients assigned to SoC compared to overall caseload to assess scope of streamlining. How is streamlining impacting on time for those patient cases which require discussion? What is the impact on total length of the MDT.

883

SURGICAL ONCOLOGY FOR NON-COVID-19 PATIENTS DURING THE PANDEMIC

¹K Ben Hamida*, ¹H Bouaziz, ¹A Jellali, ¹N Tounsi, ¹H Bouzaïene, ²M Hsairi, ¹M Slimane, ¹K Rahal. ¹Salah Azaiez Institute of Oncology, Department of Surgical Oncology, Tunis, Tunisia; ²Salah Azaiez Institute of Oncology, Department of Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, Tunisia

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.322

Introduction/Background* The COVID-19 pandemic has turned the standard of care of medicine worldwide into a “public health emergency of international concern.”

Cancer patients are a unique population in that they are vulnerable to COVID-19, particularly if immunocompromised, and also, their oncologic outcome is based on the type and timing of the treatment.

Methodology A retrospective review comparing all surgical activities between the year before COVID-19 and the year after.

This study was conducted in the Surgical Oncology Department in Salah Azaiez Institute of Oncology, the reference cancer care center in Tunisia.

Result(s)* In our center, we created a new surgical procedures team.

There was a significant reduction in the median daily breast surgery, superficial surgery, and ambulatory surgery (< 0,001). But not all operation types decreasing in frequency.

Three months after COVID -19, we selected patients for laparotomy and decreased our activity by 50%.

Starting from June 2020, we have increased our activity to exceed that of 2019. No increase in mortality or morbidity from treatment during COVID-19 for operated patients.

The total surgically consults volume decreased by 36,17% in the post-COVID-19 period, significantly reducing the median daily consult volume ($p < 0.001$).

Conclusion* In this new context, the decision for surgery is driven not only by what is best for the individual patient but also by the concern for transmitting COVID-19 to patients and health care workers.

988

THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 PANDEMIC ON GYNECOLOGICAL AND BREAST CANCER DETECTION RATE: A TERTIARY CENTER PERSPECTIVE

K Knoll*, E Reiser, I Tsubulak, K Leitner, J Kögl, C Ebner, C Marth. Medical University of Innsbruck

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.323

Introduction/Background* The aim of the present study was to assess the impact of postponed screening examinations and lockdown measures on gynecological and breast cancer detection rate throughout the year 2020 in a gynecological oncological center in Austria.

Methodology Data of 889 patients with either newly diagnosed gynecological or breast cancer between January 2019 and December 2020 were collected. Clinical parameters including symptoms, performance status, comorbidities and referral status were compared in patients, who were newly diagnosed with cancer in the period of the first lockdown from March 2020 – April 2020 and the second lockdown from November 2020 – December 2020 and compared to the same period in 2019.

Result(s)* Our results showed a strong decline in newly diagnosed cancers during the lockdown periods: -45% in gynecological cancer and -52% in breast cancer compared to the same period in 2019. Compared to the analogue period of 2019, breast cancer patients reported significantly more tumor-associated symptoms (55% versus 31%, $p = 0.013$) during and in between (48% versus 32%, $p = 0.022$) the lockdowns. During the lockdown periods breast cancer patients were diagnosed with a significantly higher tumor-stage (T2-T4; $p = 0.047$).

Conclusion* Both lockdowns led to a strong decrease in newly diagnosed gynecological and breast cancers. Treatment delays in potentially curable disease could lead to inferior clinical outcomes, with the risk of missing the optimal treatment window. As the COVID-19 pandemic will be a challenge for some time to come, new strategies in patient care are needed to optimize cancer screening and management during the pandemic.

993

INTENT OF TREATMENT IN MAJOR GYNAE-ONCOLOGY SURGERY – A QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT IN A TERTIARY TEACHING HOSPITAL

H Asif*, T Nomani. Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust, Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Wolverhampton, UK

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.324

Introduction/Background* Planning and consenting in major gynaecology surgery is a meticulous and important process. To maximise benefit to the patient it is imperative that the intent of treatment when planning major surgery is precise and specific. Additionally, to uphold informed consent and fulfil patient expectations, individuals must be accurately informed of the procedure they will undergo – a sentiment reflected in local, national, and international guidelines.

Methodology A quality Improvement project was conducted at a UK tertiary centre (New Cross Hospital, Wolverhampton) that aimed to evaluate the intent of treatment in major gynaecology surgery. 48 patients who underwent major procedures between October and December 2020 were selected for analysis. The primary objective was to ascertain the concordance between pre-operative planning and the actual procedure performed – this was determined by comparing the pre-operative documents with operation notes, and thereby expressing the concordance between procedures in the two as a percentage. Reasons for discrepancies found between pre-operative plans and the operations were then established. Other aspects evaluated included who examined patients (and when they were examined), how imaging was considered in pre-op decisions, and continuity of care throughout the surgical process.

Result(s)* It was found that overall concordance was 86.6% – most patients underwent procedure in the manner that had been planned and expected. Reasons for discrepancy (i.e., the 13.4% discordance) was categorised into 4 main categories: 1. Procedure not tailored to findings of imaging; 2. Unexpected intra-op findings; 3. Multiple surgical approaches consented; and 4. changes to surgical approach in vulvectomy. 89.4% of patients were examined in the pre-operative assessment – of

Abstract 993 Figure 1

those, 97% within 4 months of the operation. 76% of patients were operated on by the examining consultant, although this was found not to affect concordance.

Conclusion* Departmental recommendations were established from this Quality Improvement Project - The importance of considering imaging pre-operative decisions was emphasised, as well as recommendations to tailor consent to individuals in gynaecology surgery. Further recommendations included encouragement of sufficiently defining intended treatments in consent documentation, and promoting continuity/consistency of consultant in surgical care.

1014

IS THERE A ROLE FOR A MULTIDISCIPLINARY TUMOR BOARD SMART VIRTUAL ASSISTANT IN LOCALLY ADVANCED CERVICAL CARCINOMA? A PROOF OF CONCEPT

¹G Macchia, ²G Ferrandina, ³S Patamello, ⁴R Autorino*, ³C Masciocchi, ³V Pisapia, ³C Calvani, ³C Iacomini, ⁵A Cesario, ⁶B Gui, ⁷V Rufini, ⁴L Boldrini, ⁴MA Gambacorta, ²G Scambia, ⁴V Valentini. ¹Gemelli Molise Hospital – Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Radiation Oncology Unit, Campobasso, Italy; ²Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli, IRCCS, UOC Ginecologia Oncologica, Dipartimento per la salute della Donna e del Bambino e della Salute Pubblica, Roma, Italy; ³Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy; ⁴Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, UOC Radioterapia Oncologica, Dipartimento di Diagnostica per Immagini, Radioterapia Oncologica ed Ematologia, Roma, Italy; ⁵Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Scientific Directorate, Rome, Italy; ⁶Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli, IRCCS, UOC Radiologia, Dipartimento di Diagnostica per Immagini, Radioterapia Oncologica ed Ematologia, Roma, Italy; ⁷Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli, IRCCS, UOC Medicina Nucleare, Dipartimento di Diagnostica per Immagini, Radioterapia Oncologica ed Ematologia, Roma, Italy

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.325

Introduction/Background* The first prototype of the “Multi-disciplinary Tumor Board Smart Virtual Assistant” is presented.

Methodology Data from patients affected by invasive carcinoma of the cervix (LACC), FIGO stage IB2-IVa, treated between 2015 and 2018 were extracted. Magnetic Resonance (MR), Gynecologic examination under general anesthesia (EAU), and Positron Emission Tomography–Computed Tomography (PET-CT) performed at the time of diagnosis were the items from the Electronic Health Records (eHRs) considered for analysis. An automated extraction of eHR that capture the patient’s data before the diagnosis and then, through Natural Language Processing (NLP), analysis and categorization of all data to transform source information into structured data has been performed. Thereafter, an Artificial

Abstract 993 Table 1

Concordance by Procedure (operation plan vs operation notes)	Concordance of procedures	%
Laparoscopy	9/15	60.0%
Laparotomy	25/29	86.2%
Robotic	5/12	41.7%
Hysterectomy	31/31	100.0%
L salpingectomy	34/35	97.1%
R salpingectomy	34/34	100.0%
L oophorectomy	32/33	96.9%
R oophorectomy	33/33	100.0%
Omentectomy	7/11	63.6%
Lymphadenectomy	10/17	58.8%
Vulvectomy	8/8	100.0%
Additional Procedures (including biopsies)	13/24	56.5%
TOTAL	241/282	85.5%