50% ($p = 0.018$), and final tumour grade 2–3 ($p = 0.018$). The mean follow-up was 57.8 (range 6–159) months. The five-year relapse-free survival and overall survival were 92.6% (95% CI: 81.3–97.2%) and 93.5% (95% CI: 80.7–97.9%), respectively. The rate of pregnancy was 81.1% ($n = 30/37$), using, in most cases, reproductive techniques (78.4%) for this purpose.

Conclusion* Fertility-sparing management presents a high response rate in endometrial cancer. The use of LNG-IUD associates a better response rate, when compared to other treatment options. Moreover, pregnancy can be achieved with this management by use of reproductive techniques.

578

THE IMPACT OF TUMOR SIZE ON ONCOLOGICAL OUTCOMES OF FERTILITY PRESERVATION SURGERY IN EARLY CERVICAL CANCER: A MULTI-CENTRIC STUDY BY SPAIN- GOG

¹B Gil Ibanez*, ²A Torne, ³A Gil-Moreno, ⁴A Martin, ⁵G Mancebo, ⁶P Coronado, ⁷MT Marina Martín, ⁸A Lluca, ²B Diaz-Feijoo, ¹A Tejerizo. ¹Gynecological Oncology and Endoscopy Unit. Gynecology and Obstetrics Department. University Hospital 12 de Octubre. Madrid. Spain. Research Institute i+12. University Hospital 12 de Octubre., Spain; ²Unitat de Ginecologia Oncològica. ICGON. Endocrinology, Gynecology and Human Reproduction. IDIBAPS. Hospital Clínic de Barcelona., Spain; ³Gynecological Oncology Department, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Cáncer, CIBERONC, Madrid., Spain; ⁴University Hospital Son Llàtzer. Mallorca; ⁵Hospital Parc de Salut Mar. Barcelona., Spain; ⁶Hospital Clínico. Madrid, Spain; ⁷Hospital La Fe. Valencia. Spain, Spain; ⁸Gynecology Oncology Unit Hospital General Universitario de Castellón. Departamento de Medicina. Universitat Jaume I (UJI)., Spain

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.243

Introduction/Background* The combination of improved survival and delaying child bearing increases the trend of early cervical cancer diagnosis in women without a fulfilled gestational wish. Fertility preservation surgery (FSS) becomes a necessary treatment option for young women.

The aim of this study is to analyze the impact of tumor size on surgical and oncological outcomes of FSS in early cervical cancer in Spain.

Methodology A multicenter, retrospective cohort study of early cervical cancer (IA2- IB1, FIGO 2009) patients with gestational desire who underwent FSS was carried out at the departments of gynecology of 12 tertiary care hospitals between 01/2005 and 01/2019 throughout Spain. The data were registered in an on-line database. All analyses were performed using STATA 15 statistical software

Result(s)* A total of 111 patients who underwent trachelectomy were included, 82 (73.9%) of them with tumors < 2 cm and 29 (26.1%) of them ≥ 2 cm. Patients' characteristics were balanced except linfovacular space infiltration (LVSI). All patients were intraoperative node negative. There were no significant differences between groups regarding surgical approach, performance of a posterior cerclage, intraoperative complications or need of posterior hysterectomy.

Median follow-up was 55.7 months in patients group <2 cm tumor and 30.7 months in group ≥ 2 cm. Eleven recurrences were diagnosed (9.9%), 5 (6.0%) in the <2cms tumor group and 6 (21.4%) in the ≥ 2 cms tumor group ($p < 0.05$).

Cox regression was performed to identify different predictor factor for recurrence. Only tumor size (<2cm vs. ≥ 2 cms)

was found to be significant among histology, LVSI, previous conization or surgical approach. After adjusting for the rest of the variables, tumor size ≥ 2 cm has a Hazard Ratio of 5.99 (CI 95% 1.01-35.41, $p = 0.036$)

Conclusion* This study shows a real world data of a large number of trachelectomies performed in patients with early cervical cancer after negative lymphnode assessment. Selection criteria should be rigorous especially for patients with tumor ≥ 2 cm due to its worse oncological outcomes. Options may be discussed with the patient to reach a balance between the risk of recurrence and the best fertility results

705

THE ADVISORY BOARD ON CANCER, INFERTILITY AND PREGNANCY

^{1,2}J Heimovaara, ^{3,4}K Van Calsteren, ²C Lok, ^{1,2}F Amant*. ¹Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Department of Oncology, Leuven, Belgium; ²The Netherlands Cancer Institute (NKI), Department of Gynaecology, Amsterdam, Netherlands; ³University Hospitals Leuven, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Leuven, Belgium; ⁴Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Department of Development and Regeneration, Leuven, Belgium

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.244

Introduction/Background* Due to the rarity of cancer during pregnancy, physicians not always have up to date knowledge on all possibilities of treatment during pregnancy. To support physicians with decision on optimal cancer treatment for their pregnant patients, the Advisory Board on Cancer, Infertility and Pregnancy (ABCIP) was founded under the umbrella of the International Network for Cancer, Infertility and Pregnancy (INCIP).

Methodology Medical experts from a variety of disciplines and countries were informed on this new initiative, and asked whether they were interested in actively participating in the ABCIP. Several national advisory boards were founded, as well as an overarching international board. Funding was acquired to build a website to facilitate accessibility for physicians requesting advice and a forum for online discussions and communication between the board members. Based on available literature, default texts were drafted to use in the recommendation letters.

Result(s)* An online platform was created at www.ab-cip.org, where physicians are able to submit questions regarding their pregnant patients with cancer, using only anonymous patient data. A total of 73 experts from a variety of disciplines and countries are joined in the national and international boards. Of this group, experts from eight countries already expressed their interest in setting up their own national advisory board. Incoming requests are discussed by the experts on a secure forum on the website. The website generates a recommendation letter, based on available literature and expert opinion of the board members, to send back to the requesting physician. In the first month after foundation, seven requests for advice were already submitted and discussed.

Conclusion* The ABCIP provides easily accessible, free of charge recommendations to physicians from all over the world with questions regarding their pregnant patients with a cancer diagnosis or patients with a cancer diagnosis who wish to become pregnant in the future.