

Abstract 577 Table 2 Multivariable analysis of risk factors for endometrial cancer recurrence

Predictor	No. of cases	HR	95% CI	P-value
Stage				
IA	192			
≥IB*	503	1.77	1.02 to 3.07	0.04
Grade				
1-2	602			
3	93	2.42	1.28 to 4.58	0.01
LVSI				
none or mild	664			
substantial	31	3.90	2.07 to 7.37	<0.0001
L1CAM				
≤10% (negative)	659			
>10% (positive)	36	2.32	1.12 to 4.83	0.02
TCGA				
MMRd	198	1	1	
POLE mutant	43	0.34	0.08 to 1.46	0.15
p53 abnormal	31	2.11	0.90 to 4.95	0.09
NSMP	423	0.70	0.41 to 1.18	0.18
CTNNB1				
wild type	558			
mutated	137	1.76	0.98 to 3.13	0.06
MELF				
none	594			
present	101	0.63	0.28 to 1.41	0.26

Result(s)* MELF pattern of invasion was identified in 129 (16%) cases, and was associated with grade 1-2 and deep myometrial invasion (table 1). MELF positive tumours were significantly more often found in the no-specific-molecular-profile (NSMP) subclass (n=95, 84.8%). Of these NSMP MELF positive tumours 91.1% were CTNNB1-wildtype (n=82) and 26.5% KRAS-mutated (n=22). Uncorrected survival analysis showed a significantly favourable impact of MELF on risk of recurrence (p=0.031). After correction for stage, grade, LVSI, molecular EC class, L1CAM and CTNNB1, MELF pattern of invasion did not significantly impact clinical outcome (HR 0.63 95%CI 0.28 – 1.41, p=0.26), table 2.

Conclusion* MELF-pattern of invasion was identified in 16% of early stage (high)intermediate risk EC, and had no independent prognostic impact. However, our results show that MELF pattern of invasion is more frequently found in NSMP KRAS-mutated EC without CTNNB1 mutations. These distinct molecular features could contribute to further refinement of the NSMP-subgroup of EC pointing to potential novel treatment targets.

588

A DIAGNOSIS OF INFLAMMATORY MYOFIBROBLASTIC TUMOUR FOLLOWING LAPAROSCOPIC MYOMECTOMY WITH MORCELLATION: A CASE REPORT AND REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

¹KW Lee*, ²ZY Ng, ³S Mansor, ²IM Aggarwal. ¹KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Singapore; ²KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Gynaecological Oncology, Singapore; ³KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Singapore

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.170

Introduction/Background* Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumours (IMT) are rare spindle cell neoplasms of indeterminate malignant potential, commonly found in the lungs, but also originating from various organs ranging from head and neck, gastrointestinal to the genitourinary system. IMTs of the female gynaecological tract are rare and may mimic benign leiomyoma in both clinical presentation and appearance on imaging. We describe a case of uterine IMT, diagnosed after a laparoscopic myomectomy with in-bag morcellation.

Methodology

Result(s)* A 37-year-old woman was on follow-up for uterine mass on pelvic ultrasound, slowly enlarging to 3.3cm, presumed to be a fibroid. Although she did not have significant pressure symptoms or menorrhagia, she requested for removal and underwent laparoscopic myomectomy with morcellation-in-bag.

Intra-operatively, a 6cm mass was seen on the uterine posterior wall, macroscopically and morphologically consistent with that of benign leiomyoma. However, immunohistochemistry and molecular sequencing were positive for ALK-1 hence a diagnosis of IMT was made. Diffuse staining for desmin, H-caldesmon and ER was also noted. Following a multi-disciplinary tumour board, consensus was for a CT scan of the thorax, abdomen and pelvis(which showed no extra-uterine spread) and for regular surveillance scans.

A review of the literature shows no universal consensus as to optimal treatment of uterine IMT. Majority of cases have been treated with surgical excision – open, laparoscopic or hysteroscopic. While most cases have a benign course with no recurrence/relapse, local recurrences are a known complication.

Given controversies in recent years over power morcellation potentially leading to dissemination of undiagnosed uterine leiomyosarcomas, morcellation-in-bag has become standard practice for all laparoscopic myomectomies or hysterectomies requiring morcellation. There is little data regarding outcomes of other types of uterine tumours, or IMT specifically, with regards to outcomes following morcellation. The only two documented cases in the literature specifically involving morcellation resulted in local recurrences requiring further treatment.

Conclusion* We present a case of uterine IMT diagnosed following laparoscopic myomectomy and in-bag morcellation. While the patient has no sign of extrauterine spread at present, further follow-up will be required to monitor for any progression or recurrence. Outcomes following morcellation or specific other treatment options will need to be further studied.

595

IMPLEMENTATION OF COLLABORATIVE TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH (TRANSPORTEC) FINDINGS IN AN INTERNATIONAL ENDOMETRIAL CANCER CLINICAL TRIALS PROGRAM (RAINBO)

¹T Bosse, ²M Powell, ³E Crosbie, ⁴A Leary, ⁵J Kroep, ⁶K Han, ⁷J Mcalpine, ⁸N Horeweg, ⁸S De Boer, ⁹M De Bruyn, ⁸R Nout, ¹V Smit, ⁹HW Nijman, ¹⁰N Singh, ¹¹H Mackay, ³R Edmondson, ¹²L Mileshekin, ¹³D Church, ¹⁴H Kitchener, ⁸CL Creutzberg. ¹Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC), Pathology, Leiden, Netherlands; ²Barts Health NHS Trust, Clinical Oncology, London, UK; ³Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, St Mary's Hospital, Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Manchester, UK; ⁴Gustave Roussy Cancer Center, INSERM U981, Université Paris Saclay, Cancer Medicine and Gynecological Tumor Translational Research Lab, Villejuif, France; ⁵Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC), Medical Oncology, Leiden, Netherlands; ⁶Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, University of Toronto, Radiation Oncology, Toronto, Canada; ⁷University of British Columbia, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Vancouver, Canada; ⁸Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC), Radiation Oncology, Leiden, Netherlands; ⁹University Medical Center Groningen, Gynaecological Oncology, Groningen, Netherlands; ¹⁰Barts Health NHS Trust, Cellular Pathology, London, UK; ¹¹Odette Cancer Center, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Medical Oncology, Toronto, Canada; ¹²Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Department of Medical Oncology, Melbourne, Australia; ¹³Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford NIHR Comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford, UK; ¹⁴Institute of Cancer Sciences, University of Manchester, Women's Cancer Centre, Manchester, UK

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.171