

Abstract 514 Table 1 Demographic and pathologic characteristics of women with endometrial cancer by menopausal status

Factor	Total (n=244)	Premenopausal (n=45)	Postmenopausal (n=199)	P value
Age (mean, SD)	64.3 (11.9)	43.1 (19.9)	68.2 (14.4)	0.032
BMI Kg/m ² (mean, SD)	29.7 (7.7)	28.7 (11.2)	30.6 (10.3)	0.6
Parity (mean, SD)	2.0 (1.37)	1.1 (0.8)	2.15 (1.3)	0.04
Tobacco use (n, %)	36 (17.4)	11 (28.9)	25 (14.9)	0.039
Diabetes mellitus (n, %)	39 (16.3)	4 (8.9)	35 (18.0)	0.5
Hypertension (n, %)	123 (50.6)	6 (13.0)	117 (59.4)	0.001
Lynch syndrome (n, %)	0 (0.0)	-	-	-
Surgical treatment (n, %)	244 (100)	-	-	-
Hysterectomy	244 (100)	-	-	-
Bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy	239 (98.0)	44 (97.8)	195 (98.0)	0.9
Pelvic lymphadenectomy	94 (38.2)	18 (39.1)	76 (38.0)	0.4
Para-aortic lymphadenectomy	67 (28.3)	17 (37.0)	60 (30.0)	0.19
Histology (n, %)				
Endometrioid	212 (86.2)	45 (97.8)	167 (83.5)	0.01
Non endometrioid	34 (13.8)	1 (2.2)	33 (16.5)	
Grade (n, %)				
1-2	176 (71.8)	36 (79.5)	140 (70.6)	0.06
3	58 (23.7)	6 (13.0)	52 (26.1)	
Myometrial invasion (n, %)				
< 50%	168 (69.1)	36 (80.0)	132 (66.7)	0.08
≥ 50%	75 (30.9)	9 (20.0)	66 (33.3)	
Lymphovascular space invasion (n, %)	45 (18.5)	8 (17.4)	37 (18.8)	0.39
Stage (n, %)				
I-II	214 (87.4)	36 (80.4)	177 (88.9)	0.118
III-IV	31 (12.6)	9 (19.6)	22 (11.1)	
Adjuvant treatment (n, %)				
Chemotherapy	34 (14.2)	7 (15.6)	27 (13.8)	0.757
Radiotherapy	88 (36.2)	13 (28.9)	75 (37.9)	0.257

518

RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS OF OVERALL SURVIVAL AND PATTERN OF RELAPSE UTILISING SEQUENTIAL CHEMORADIO THERAPY IN HIGH-RISK ENDOMETRIAL CANCER

¹S Anderson*, ¹K Baillie, ¹A Sadozye, ¹N Reed, ¹R Harrand, ¹C Crearie, ²T Mueller, ^{2,3}M Bennie, ¹K Graham. ¹Beatson West of Scotland Cancer Centre, NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde; ²Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde; ³Public Health and Intelligence Strategic Business Unit, NHS National Services UK

10.1136/ijgc-2021-ESGO.163

Introduction/Background* Management of endometrial cancer (EC) consists of surgery, followed by tailored adjuvant therapy in intermediate to high-risk disease. PORTEC3 reported 5-year overall survival (OS) of 81% and 25% relapse rate (pelvic failure 6% and distant failure 24%) in women who received concurrent chemoradiotherapy followed by 4 cycles of chemotherapy, with most marked benefit demonstrated in stage III and/or high grade serous (HGS) EC. In the West of Scotland Cancer Network (WoSCAN), standard post-operative protocol for high-risk disease is 4–6 cycles of carboplatin/paclitaxel prior to external beam radiotherapy (EBRT), 4500cGy/25 fractions. We compared our practice to PORTEC3 to investigate if alternative sequencing affects OS and/or pattern of relapse.

Methodology Data were collected retrospectively from electronic clinical records in WoSCAN patients who commenced adjuvant chemotherapy between January 2012 and December 2016. Statistical analysis was performed using R[®]. OS was estimated using Kaplan-Meier method.

Result(s)* 108 patients were identified, 57 had chemotherapy +/- vaginal brachytherapy and 51 had chemotherapy + EBRT. In the EBRT cohort, median age was 62 years (range 47–78), and FIGO stage was as follows: IB (13%); II (18%); III (59%); IVB (10%). LVSI was positive in 69% and nodal staging performed in 39%. Pathology consisted of: EEC (61%), HGS (22%), carcinosarcoma (10%), or other (7%). Residual disease and/or positive margins were present in over 10%. Median number of chemotherapy cycles was 4 (range 3–6), and 37% had 5 or 6 cycles. 95% completed EBRT. OS at 3-years was 72% (95% Confidence Interval 61–86). Relapse rate was 39% (pelvic failure 17% and distant failure 33%).

Conclusion* Pelvic and distant failure rates were higher and OS was less favourable in our series, but over 30% of patients would have been excluded from the PORTEC3 trial based on pathology, stage, and residual disease. Chemotherapy prior to EBRT does not appear to result in better outcomes, but this cohort consisted of women with very high-risk EC.