underestimated and S-LPS helped to avoid SDS in 89% because of noting a diffuse bowel mesentry involvement. **Conclusion** A combination of MRI/CT scans and staging laparoscopy in PCI evaluating is an effective treatment modality which can improve the cytoreductive outcomes in patients with advanced ovarian cancer. **Disclosures** No conflict of interest exits in the submission of this study. We confirm that no funding source were used in this study.
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**577 EARLY SALPINGECTOMY (TUBECTOMY) WITH DELAYED OOPHORECTOMY AS AN ALTERNATIVE FOR RISK-REDUCING SALPINGO-OOPHORECTOMY TO IMPROVE QUALITY OF LIFE IN WOMEN WITH A BRCA1/2 PATHOGENIC VARIANT (TUBA STUDY): A PROSPECTIVE MULTICENTER PREFERENCE TRIAL**

Miranda P Steenbeek, Marline Harsen, Nicole Hoogerbrugge, Tuba Study Group, Rosella PMG Hermens, Joanne A de Hullu, Radboudumc, Obstetrics and Gynecology; Radboudumc, Clinical Genetics; Radboudumc, Scientific Institute for Quality of Healthcare

Introduction/Background Currently, risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy (RRSO) around the age of 40 is recommended to women with a BRCA1/2 pathogenic variant (PV). To prevent premature menopause, risk-reducing salpingectomy (RRS) is considered, because recent data indicate the Fallopian tube instead of the ovary as origin of high grade serous ovarian carcinoma (HGSC). Based on this hypothesis, the TUBA study (NCT02321228) compares quality of life (QoL) between the novel RRS with delayed oophorectomy (RRO) and standard RRSO.

**Methodology** Within this national multicenter preference trial, BRCA1/2-PV carriers chose between the novel strategy (RRS at age 35–45 (BRCA1) or 45–50 (BRCA2)) and the standard strategy (RRSO at age 35–40 (BRCA1) or 40–45 (BRCA2)). The primary outcome is menopause-related QoL, measured by the Greene climacteric scale (GCS). A higher sum of the GCS represents more menopausal complaints.

**Results** A total of 577 women were included, 51.5% carried a BRCA1-PV, and 72% chose the novel RRS with delayed RRO. Until now, 394 women underwent RRS and 154 RRSO of which 30% did not start hormone replacement therapy (HRT). Without HRT, the adjusted mean increase from baseline on the GCS was 0.6 points (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.0;1.1) one year after RRS and 7.7 points (95% CI 6.2;9.9) one year after RRSO. Thus, the adjusted mean difference between the treatment groups was 7.2 (95% CI 5.4;9.0, P<0.001). In women with HRT after RRSO, a difference of 3.4 points (95% CI 2.2;4.6, P<0.001) was found compared to RRS. For sexual functioning, women without HRT had an increase of 0.4 points (95% CI -0.3;1.1) one year after RRS and a decrease of 5.7 points (95% CI -8.7;-3.7) one year after RRSO. A decrease of 1.6 points (95% CI -3.2;0.0) was found one year after RRSO with HRT. A decrease represents a worsening of sexual functioning. No differences in cancer worry, decisional conflict or decisional regret were found between groups. No HGSC has occurred during follow-up.

**Conclusion** Menopause-related QoL is better after novel RRS when compared to RRSO in women with a BRCA1/2-PV, regardless of HRT use. Moreover, sexual functioning is better at one year after RRS. No cancers have occurred since RRS, but follow-up is too short to draw conclusions on safety. An international follow-up study is currently recruiting to evaluate the oncological safety of RRS with delayed RRO (TUBA-WISP II, NCT04294927).

**Disclosures** Nothing to disclose.

**591 THE ROLE OF HPV GENOTYPES ANALYSIS TO PREVENT OVERTREATMENT OF HIGH-GRADE CIN**

M Alesia Salamina, Massimiliano Farinini, Francesca Malenatchi, Milo Giani, Federica Barsanti, Irene Renda, Flavia Sorbi, Department of Experimental, Clinical and Biomedical Sciences, University of Florence, Viale G.B. Morgagni, 50, Florence, Italy – Careggi University Hospital

**Introduction/Background** Invasive Cervical Cancer (ICC) develops from Cervical Intraepithelial Neoplasia (CIN) and persistence of high-risk HPV (HR-HPV) infection represents the main risk factor for evolution of CIN into ICC. Prevention of the disease is based on two screening methods: Pap-smear (Pap-test) and HPV test; the latter with higher sensitivity and lower specificity. HR-HPV positive test detects earlier than Pap-test high-grade CIN (CIN 2–3) at colposcopy-guided biopsy, but the subsequent conization often reveals the absence of an high-grade CIN. The objective of the present study is to assess the incidence of persistency of high-grade CIN at conization after a positive biopsy. Secondly we aimed to investigate the role of HPV genotypes in the evolution of the lesion, in order to better triage women at time of colposcopy.

**Methodology** A prospective study was conducted at Local Health Unit Toscana Centro and Careggi University Hospital (Florence, Italy) between January 2016 and February 2017 involving 308 women undergoing conization for high-grade CIN at cervical biopsy. All recruited patients underwent HPV testing prior conization. Biopsy and cone specimen data were recorded for each patient.

**Results** Only in half of the patients there was a persistency of high-grade CIN. Histology discrepancy between biopsy and cone was observed in 181 out of the 308 recruited patients (58.7%, P<0.001). There was evidence of a 37.4% of regression of CIN grade at cone, a 41.2% of stability and a 21.4% of progression. The overall evaluation of CIN evolution (regression, stability, progression) and HPV genotypes distribution showed a significant difference depending on HPV positive/negative samples (p<0.001), HPV risk (p=0.005) and genotype (p<0.001). HR-HPVs were highly represented in progression, being HPV16 genotype strikingly prevalent in this group, and significantly lower in patients with regression of the lesion.

**Conclusion** Approximately a third of patients with high-grade CIN at biopsy detected with Paptess and HPV screening underwent overtreatment with conization. Absent or LR HPV infection at time of conization were identified as predictors of regression. HPV test before conization could triage patients with high-grade CIN at cervical biopsy towards conisation or further follow-up with colposcopy.
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