12.5% (O-RS) versus 15.2% (MI-RS) (HR 1.174, 95% CI: 0.656–2.104, p=0.588). Deaths of disease were 62; the 5-yr disease-specific survival (DSS) was 80.4% in O-RS patients, 85.3% in the MI-RS group (HR 0.731, 95% CI: 0.438–1.220, p=0.228).

Estimated blood loss was lower in the MI-RS group (p<0.001), as well as length of hospital stay (p<0.001). Early postoperative complications occurred in 77 (33.3%) patients in the O-RS group, 88 (38.1%) patients in the MI-RS group (p=0.331). Fifty-six (24.2%) patients experienced late postoperative complications in the O-RS group, 61 (26.4%) in the MI-RS group (p=0.668).

Conclusion MI-RS and O-RS are associated with similar rate of recurrence and death from disease in LACC patients managed by surgery after CT/RT. No difference in early and late complications were reported.

IGCS20_1203
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**Introduction/Background** Conditional relative survival (CRS) considers changes in prognosis over time and thus, may offer more useful estimates for survivors and clinicians. We aimed to investigate the CRS among patients with ovarian cancer with comprehensive stratification by various factors that influence survival probabilities.

**Methodology** This nationwide retrospective cohort study used data from the Korean Central Cancer Registry. We included 78,606 patients diagnosed with cervical cancer as their first cancer between January 1, 1997 and December 31, 2016. CRS and the conditional probabilities of death for the following 1 year were calculated stratified by age at diagnosis, histology, stage at diagnosis, year of diagnosis, and social deprivation index.

**Results** The 5-year relative survival rate at the time of diagnosis was 61.1% for all cases. The probability of surviving an additional 5 years conditioned on having already survived 1, 2, 3, and 4, and 5 years after diagnosis was 65.0%, 69.5%, 74.6%, 79.3%, and 83.9%. Patients with poorer initial survival estimates (older, advanced stage) generally showed the largest increases in CRS over time. Patients aged ≥70 years had the highest probability of death in the first year after diagnosis (34.9%), but the conditional probability of death in the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 5th year declined abruptly to 14.7%, 9.2%, 6.0%, and 4.9%, respectively.

**Conclusion** The CRS rates for patients with ovarian cancer improved over time, particularly among patients with poorer initial prognoses. Our estimates can enable patients to make better informed decisions regarding follow-up care and their personal life.
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**Introduction** Recent evidence has shown adverse oncological outcomes when minimally invasive surgery is used in early stage cervical cancer. The objective of this study was to compare the 4-year disease-free survival in patients that had undergone radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy, either by laparoscopy or laparotomy.

**Methods** Multicenter, retrospective cohort study of patients diagnosed with cervical cancer stage IA1 with lymph-vascular invasion, IA2 and IB1(FIGO 2009 classification), between January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2017, at seven cancer centers from 6 countries. In the main patient-level analysis we used inverse probability of treatment weighting based on propensity score to construct a weighted cohort of women who differed only with respect to surgical approach. We estimated the hazard ratio (HR) for all-cause mortality after radical hysterectomy with weighted Cox proportional hazard models.

**Results** 1379 patients were included in the analysis, 681 (49.4%) patients operated by laparoscopy, and 698 (50.6%) by laparotomy. Median age was 46 (22–88) years. Median follow-up was 52.1(0.8–201.2) months for laparoscopy, and 52.6 (0.4–166.6) for laparotomy group. Women who underwent laparoscopic radical hysterectomy had inferior 4-year disease-free survival compared with laparotomy group (HR 1.64; 95% Confidence Interval 1.09–2.46). When the outcomes were compared according to preoperative tumor size, there was a higher risk of recurrence only in patients with a tumor size >2 cm operated by laparoscopy (HR= 2.26; 95% CI 1.17–4.37).

**Conclusions** In this retrospective multicenter study, the laparoscopic approach for early stage cervical cancer was associated with a higher risk of recurrence, compared to laparotomy.