2019. This sample includes 1 case of follicular lymphoma, 2 cases of large T-cell lymphoma, and 10 cases of large B-cell lymphoma.

**Results** All the patients were women and aged between 17–89 years (average age: 52.6 years). The mean symptom was a breast lump, only one patient consulted for inflammatory signs in the breast. The average clinical size of the tumor was 7.2 cm, with a maximum of 15 cm.

Mammography showed an oval mass with circumscribed margins in the majority of cases. Ultrasound showed in most of the cases a hypoechoic irregular mass or multilobulated mass with irregular margin and hypervascular on color Doppler. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed in only three patients and showed a spiculated lesion with polycyclic limits. 8 patients underwent surgery. In our study lymphoma involved 10 cases of large B-cell lymphoma, one case of follicular lymphoma, and two cases of large T-cell lymphoma. 11 patients had localized stages (I + II) at diagnosis, and 2 patients had the disseminated stage (stage III) of primary breast lymphoma. Seven patients underwent chemotherapy treatment alone, and five had chemotherapy with radiotherapy. The median follow-up of our patients was 53 months, ranging from 1 to 177 months. Overall survival was 71% at 3 years and 51% at 5 years.

**Conclusion** In a small cohort of HGSOC patients there is no plasticity of somatic BRCA-status after few cycles of standard chemotherapy. These results need to be confirmed in a larger sample-size and compared with those obtained after long biological treatments.
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**Introduction** Women with gynaecological cancers are at increased risk of cancer treatment-induced bone loss (CTIBL). Care gaps in CTIBL have been demonstrated in other oncologic settings. This study explores the attitudes and practices of tertiary care clinicians in the prevention and management of CTIBL in the gynaecologic oncology setting.

**Methods** Online survey of the membership of relevant professional medical body.

**Results** Tertiary care clinicians appreciate the importance of attention to bone health in women with gynaecological cancers. Clinical practice and opinions on which healthcare professional should provide this care vary significantly, with over one third of respondents of the opinion that it does not fall within the remit of their care. Rates of referral for quantitative bone density assessment, optimisation of calcium and vitamin D levels, and familiarity with standard risk fracture scoring systems were low.

**Conclusion/Implications** This is the first study to examine the attitudes and practices of tertiary carers of women with gynaecological cancers in relation to CTIBL. Opinions on responsibility for attention to bone health vary widely. Perception of bone health as low priority has been demonstrated among general practitioners so provision of bone health care in the community should not be assumed. Robust guidance on the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis in gynaecologic oncology and impeccable communication with other healthcare providers is paramount. Many women are living years with and beyond gynaecological cancer and so our focus must shift from survivorship alone to quality of health and all aspects of well woman care.
screened at the hospital, the reports are reviewed in the clinic and colposcopy is done when needed. The follow up colposcopy coverage was observed to be 95% among the in-house screened women, while in the community it was 12.5%.

Our aim is to increase the colposcopy coverage in women with abnormal pap smear in community screening camps from a baseline of 12.5% to 95% over a period of 10 months.

Methods With the help of EQuIPIndia- QIA3 problem solving approach, we have identified the problems leading to low colposcopy follow-up among women screened in the community. The analysis was done using the A3 methodology tools like the Process map, the GEMBA walk, Run chart, Fishbone and Pareto charts.

Results From an average of 12.5%, through the A3 methodology tools we were able to followup 100% of the women who needed colposcopy.

Conclusions A3 methodology is found to be useful for Quality improvement of health care services.

Abstract 67 Figure 1

A 38 year old nulliparous woman with pemphigus vulgaris (PV) on oral prednisolone and azathioprine was referred to the Gynaecology service for an abnormal cervical cytological smear showing low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion. She was asymptomatic, reported no abnormal vaginal bleeding, and had a 10 pack-year history of smoking.

Colposcopy was unsatisfactory with inadequate visualisation of the transformation zone due to severe cervico-vaginitis. A small focus of aceto-white epithelium was seen, surrounded by peeling, friable epithelium. HPV DNA test was negative. Punch biopsy demonstrated metaplastic squamous epithelium with intraepidermal suprabasal blister formation with acantholysis. Well-vascularised dermal papillae lined residual basal cells, giving rise to a tombstone appearance. There was no evidence of CIN/CGIN or invasive malignancy. An ulcer was also seen in the left buccal region.

Repeat colposcopy after 6 weeks showed a small ulcerated area at the biopsy site with rolled healing edges, and a separate small ulcer. Cervical smear and colposcopy 6 months later were unremarkable.

The incidence of cervical PV may be underestimated because women with PV are often managed by dermatologists without gynaecological input. A small focus of aceto-white epithelium was seen, surrounded by peeling, friable epithelium. HPV DNA test was negative. Punch biopsy demonstrated metaplastic squamous epithelium with intraepidermal suprabasal blister formation with acantholysis. Well-vascularised dermal papillae lined residual basal cells, giving rise to a tombstone appearance. There was no evidence of CIN/CGIN or invasive malignancy. An ulcer was also seen in the left buccal region.

Repeat colposcopy after 6 weeks showed a small ulcerated area at the biopsy site with rolled healing edges, and a separate small ulcer. Cervical smear and colposcopy 6 months later were unremarkable.

The incidence of cervical PV may be underestimated because women with PV are often managed by dermatologists without gynaecological input. In many published cases, cervical involvement was only detected after gynaecological examination due to symptoms such as dyspareunia, post-coital bleeding or vaginal discharge.

Cervical smears of patients with PV typically display acantholysis, which may be misinterpreted as reparative, inflammatory, or neoplastic change. There have been reports of