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Objectives In June 2013, the agency responsible for advancing cancer care in Ontario, Canada, published practice guidelines recommending that gynaecologic oncologists (OGs) at designated centers manage the treatment of patients with high grade endometrial cancers. This study examines the effects of this regionalization of care on patient outcomes.

Methods In this retrospective cohort study, patients diagnosed with non-endometrioid high risk endometrial cancer (serous, carcinosarcoma, clear cell, undifferentiated) from 2003–2017 were identified using province-wide administrative databases.

Results We identified 3518 patients with high risk endometrial cancer. The case mix as represented by patient comorbidities and disease stage distribution did not differ significantly between the two regionalization periods. There was a significant increase (69% to 85%, p<0.001) in the proportion of primary surgeries performed by OGs after regionalization, which was not explained by secular trends. After regionalization, the proportion of patients who had surgical staging (50% to 63%, p<0.001), and the proportion of patients who received adjuvant treatment (65% to 71%, p<0.001) increased significantly. After adjusting for age, stage, and comorbidities, there was an increase in overall survival (HR 0.85 (0.73–0.99), p=0.04) after regionalization.

Conclusions The publication of a regionalization policy for the treatment of high risk histology endometrial cancers in Ontario led to an increase in the proportion of surgeries performed by OGs, surgical staging and adjuvant treatment. This also translated into a significant improvement in patient survival.
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Objectives Primitive Carcinoma of the Fallopian tube is extremely rare and represents 0.1 to 1% of all malignant tumors of the pelvis. The aim of this study is to describe its clinical and prognostic features.

Methods It is a retrospective study conducted in the Tunisian Central Cancer Registry during a 15 year period (2004 – 2018) collecting all the pathologically established and confirmed cases of primitive carcinoma of the Fallopian tube.

Results The incidence of Primitive Carcinoma of the Fallopian tube was 1/1559 of all the Ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal cancers in our registry. The mean age at the diagnosis was 54.2 years. Pelvic pain was the main symptom. Pelvic clinical examination reported a mass in 86% of the cases. Pelvic ultrasound revealed a para-uterine image in all cases but could not differentiate between an ovarian tumor, a Fallopian tube one, or a tubo-ovarian infectious abscess. The perioperative diagnosis was evoked during laparoscopy in only 12% of the cases. The different pathological diagnoses of Primitive Carcinoma of the Fallopian tube were: tubal carcinoma in situ, tubal Carcinoma, tubal adenocarcinoma, and tubal papillary adenocarcinoma. An optimal cytoreduction surgery was possible in 86% of the cases. During the follow-up, the recurrence rate was 24% and the overall survival rate at 3 years was 82%.

Conclusions Primitive Carcinoma of the Fallopian tube is a very rare entity in our daily practice. Diagnosis is rarely made before surgery or the pathological study. The 3-year prognosis is relatively good.