SURGICAL MORBIDITY DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC – A GYNAECOLOGICAL ONCOLOGY PERSPECTIVE

Methods We analysed the clinical data of the above 118 patients. If asymptomatic, testing for SARS-CoV-2 was not performed. To minimise peri-operative morbidity and the risk of onward hospital transmission of COVID-19, screening questionnaires were administered before hospital admission. These screened asymptomatic & low risk - therefore proceeded to surgery. 49 (41.5%) patients had a defined risk factor for COVID-19. 7-day post-operative morbidity was 13% (N=16). 3 patients (41.5%) patients had a defined risk factor for COVID-19. 7-day post-operative morbidity was 13% (N=16). 3 patients met symptomatic criteria for COVID-19 testing post-operatively, however none tested positive.

Conclusion Careful patient selection based on risk factors and symptoms allows units to continue to perform safe oncological surgery during a pandemic.

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF OVARIAN LOW-GRADE AND HIGH-GRADE SEROUS OVARIAN CARCINOMA

Methods A total of 87 patients with high grade (HGSOC) (79.8%) were retrospectively compared to 22 patients with low grade (LGSOC) staged surgically in Salah Azaiez Tunisian cancer center, between 2000 and 2010.

Results We performed primary debulking surgery in 89 patients (81.7%) and 20 patients (18.3%) underwent and interval debulking surgery. Maximal cytoreduction (R0) was achieved in 38 of patients (34.9%),32 patients had a residual disease ≤1 cm (29.4%) and 39 patients had a residual disease >1 cm (35.8%). The comparison of HGSOC to LGSOC by univariate analysis showed that HGSOC were associated to more frequent combined pelvic and paraaortic LNM (56.8% vs 26.7%, p=0.037), higher quantity of ascites >1 litre (47.1% vs 13.6%, p=0.004) with more frequent carcinomatosis in the upper abdomen (54% vs 27.3%, p=0.032), higher quantity of ascites >1 litre (47.1% vs 13.6%, p=0.004) with more frequent carcinomatosis in the upper abdomen (54% vs 27.3%, p=0.032), higher quantity of ascites >1 litre (47.1% vs 13.6%, p=0.004) with more frequent carcinomatosis in the upper abdomen (54% vs 27.3%, p=0.032), higher quantity of ascites >1 litre (47.1% vs 13.6%, p=0.004) with more frequent carcinomatosis in the upper abdomen (54% vs 27.3%, p=0.032), higher quantity of ascites >1 litre (47.1% vs 13.6%, p=0.004) with more frequent carcinomatosis in the upper abdomen (54% vs 27.3%, p=0.032), higher quantity of ascites >1 litre (47.1% vs 13.6%, p=0.004) with more frequent carcinomatosis in the upper abdomen (54% vs 27.3%, p=0.032), higher quantity of ascites >1 litre (47.1% vs 13.6%, p=0.004) with more frequent carcinomatosis in the upper abdomen (54% vs 27.3%, p=0.032), higher quantity of ascites >1 litre (47.1% vs 13.6%, p=0.004) with more frequent carcinomatosis in the upper abdomen (54% vs 27.3%, p=0.032), higher quantity of ascites >1 litre (47.1% vs 13.6%, p=0.004) with more frequent carcinomatosis in the upper abdomen (54% vs 27.3%, p=0.032), higher quantity of ascites >1 litre (47.1% vs 13.6%, p=0.004) with more frequent carcinomatosis in the upper abdomen (54% vs 27.3%, p=0.032).

Objectives To compare the clinical-pathological features and survival outcomes of women with low grade and high grade serous epithelial ovarian cancer.

Introduction as part of the IGCS global education curriculum since 2017, monthly tele-mentoring of gynecological oncology fellows has been implemented at 14 cancer centers in low-middle income countries (LMIC) using the Project ECHO technique of tumor board-style videoconferences (TBVC).
survival (31.3% vs 50%; p=0.06) and recurrence free survival (27.9% vs 50%; p=0.112).

Conclusion HGSOC are correlated to a advanced stage and extended lymph node invasion.
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A RARE CASE OF NASOPHARYNGEAL CARCINOMA METASTASIS TO MALE BREAST

S Sakhri*, 1M Bouheni, 2O Addouni, 1R Chargui, 1K Rahal. 1Slah Azaiez Institut, surgical department, Tunis, Tunisia, Tunisia; 2Salah Azaiez Institut, Pathology department, Tunis, Tunisia, Tunisia

10.1136/ijgc-2020-IGCS.248

Introduction Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is a rare malignancy with an incidence of 0.5–2 per 100,000 in Europe and the United States, it almost occur in young and middle-aged adults, the incidence is higher in the Chinese and Tunisian population.

Case Report A 41-year-old male presented in March 2019 with a lump in the right upper neck region, which had been growing for four months. Physical examination identified multiple circular lumps, which were palpable on the right upper third of the neck on the sternocleidomastoid (facies medialis). Examination of the head and neck by computed tomography (CT) showed thickening of the soft tissues of the right wall of the nasopharynx and bilateral cervical lymphadenopathy with a maximum node size of ~9×1.5 cm, also it identified a suspect mass in the right breast. The patient underwent then a Breast ultrasound that showed a two-aval shaped micolobulated hypoechoic mass without spiculations measuring respectively 10 mm and 12 mm located in the upper outer quadrant and behind the nipple of the right breast, associated with right axillary lymph node. Our patient underwent an ultrasound-guided biopsy, the histological examination confirmed the diagnosis of breast metastasis.

In conclusion, the present case confirmed that NPC may also metastasize to breast male. Although, there is no established guideline for the treatment, a multidisciplinary approach is always beneficial to the patient.
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ARE OBSTETRICS AND GYNAECOLOGY TRAINEES CONFIDENT AND COMPETENT IN THE CARE OF FRAIL GYNAECOLOGICAL ONCOPATIENTS?


10.1136/ijgc-2020-IGCS.249

Introduction Older patients undergoing cancer surgery are at increased risk of post-operative morbidity and mortality. Frailty is particularly prevalent in this patient cohort and is a major contributor to adverse outcomes. A survey was conducted to assess the confidence and knowledge of trainees in obstetrics and gynaecology (O&G) regarding identification and management of perioperative issues encountered in frail gynaecological oncology patients.

Methods A web-based survey on the management of frail perioperative patients was disseminated to doctors-in-training (trainees) in O& G in the United Kingdom (UK) and Ireland.

Results Of the 666 trainees who participated, 67% (n=425/666) reported inadequate training in the perioperative management of frail patients. Validated frailty assessment tools were used by only 9% (n=59/638) of trainees and less than 1% (n=4/613) were able to correctly identify the diagnostic features of frailty. Common misconceptions included the use of chronological age and gender in frailty assessments. The majority trainees (>75%) correctly answered a series of questions relating to mental capacity; however, only 6% (n=36/606) were able to correctly identify all three diagnostic features of delirium. 87% (n=495/571) of trainees supported closer collaboration with geriatricians and a multi-disciplinary approach.

Conclusions O&G trainees reported inadequate training in the perioperative care of frail gynaecological oncology patients, and overwhelmingly favoured input from geriatricians. Routine use of validated frailty assessment tools may aid diagnosis of frailty in the perioperative setting. There is an unmet need for formal education in the management of frail surgical patients within the UK and Irish O&G curriculum.
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UNIQUE CASE SERIES OF COEXISTING ENDOMETRIAL AND HEMATOLOGIC MALIGNANCIES

S Leiva*, G Boike. 1University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, USA; 2Karmanos Cancer Institute at McLaren Bay Region, USA

10.1136/ijgc-2020-IGCS.250

Introduction Coexistent primary neoplasms in the same individual can present as synchronous or metachronous. In the setting of endometrial cancer, most concomitant primary sites include ovarian, colon, and breast cancer.

The coexistence of endometrial and hematologic malignancies is rare and unique, with only 7 cases reported in the literature.

Over a time interval of 10 years, we have encountered this unusual condition in 7 patients of our own. This is the most extensive case series of concurrent hematologic and endometrial malignancies.


Results Our patients were referred to a gynecologic oncology office from the years 2002 and 2012 due to suspected endometrial cancer.

All of our patients underwent surgical diagnoses and staging for endometrial cancer.

The findings of significantly enlarged lymph nodes as described in the operative reports of 5 out of 7 patients.

Conclusions and Implications The presence of two primary malignancies may translate into a unique diagnostic and treatment situation where the presence of the other may impact surgical, medical, and radiation management for one cancer.